Draft version July 3, 2012
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 5/2/11

arXiv:1207.0264v1 [astro-ph.CO] 2 Jul 2012

FULLY NONLINEAR AND EXACT PERTURBATIONS OF THE FRIEDMANN WORLD MODEL

Jai-chan Hwang[1] and Hyerim Noh[2]
[1]Department of Astronomy and Atmospheric Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Republic of Korea
[2]Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute, Daejeon 305-348, Republic of Korea

Draft version July 3, 2012

ABSTRACT

In 1988 Bardeen has suggested a pragmatic formulation of cosmological perturbation theory which is powerful in practice to employ various fundamental gauge conditions easily depending on the character of the problem. The perturbation equations are presented without fixing the temporal gauge condition and are arranged so that one can easily impose fundamental gauge conditions by simply setting one of the perturbation variables in the equations equal to zero. In this way one can use the gauge degrees of freedom as an advantage in handling problems. Except for the synchronous gauge condition, all the other fundamental gauge conditions completely fix the gauge mode, and consequently, each variable in such a gauge has a unique gauge invariant counterpart, so that we can identify the variable as the gauge-invariant one. Here, we extend Bardeen's linear formulation to fully nonlinear order in perturbations, with the gauge advantage kept intact. Derived equations are exact, and from these we can easily expand to higher order perturbations in a gauge-ready form. We consider scalar- and vector-type perturbations of an ideal fluid in a flat background; we also present the multiple components of ideal fluid case. As applications we present fully nonlinear density and velocity perturbation equations in Einstein's gravity in the zero-pressure medium, vorticity generation from pure scalar-type perturbation, and fluid formulation of a minimally coupled scalar field, all in the comoving gauge. We also present the equation of gravitational waves generated from pure scalar- and vector-type perturbations.

Subject headings: cosmology: theory —large scale structure of universe

1. INTRODUCTION

The Friedmann world model, based on assuming spatial homogeneity and isotropy in Einstein's gravity, is widely accepted as a successful cosmological model, enduring 90 years of observational and theoretical advances after Friedmann's original proposition in 1922. Main observational and theoretical advances have been made in the angular anisotropies of cosmic microwave background radiation and in the position and motion of large scale galaxy distribution. Relativistic perturbation theory is important in providing crucial testing grounds for matching the theoretical predictions with the observation, and for the theoretical explanation of the observed phenomena. The relativistic linear perturbation theory and the Newtonian exact and nonlinear perturbation theory are generally accepted to be successful in the current paradigm of modern physical cosmology. This work is concerned with relativistic fully nonlinear and exact perturbation formulation in the Friedmann world model.

The cosmological linear perturbation theory in the Friedmann's world model was pioneered by Lifshitz in 1946. Lifshitz's analysis was made in a certain gauge (coordinate) condition known as the synchronous gauge (Landau & Lifshitz 1975). A disadvantage of the synchronous gauge condition was that even after imposing the gauge condition there remains remnant gauge (coordinate) mode which needs to be traced carefully. In this way the algebras become unnecessarily complicated. Often, removing the remnant gauge mode in the synchronous gauge merely corresponds to taking just another gauge condition; for example, setting the velocity component of the pressureless matter (being a gauge-mode in the synchronous gauge) equal to zero is equivalent to simply taking the velocity component of the pressureless matter equal to zero (the comoving gauge of the pressureless matter); however, as will become clear in this work, even such a cure in the synchronous gauge is available only to the linear order in the presence of a zero-pressure fluid (Hwang & Noh 2006). The remnant gauge mode in the synchronous gauge causes quite a troublesome matter to handle in the nonlinear perturbation theory.

Other gauge conditions free from the remnant gauge modes were proposed by Harrison (1967) for the zero-shear gauge (often known as the longitudinal or conformal-Newtonian gauge), and Nariai (1969) for the comoving gauge; see also Hawking (1966), Sachs & Wolfe (1967), and Field & Shepley (1968). There are, in fact, infinitely many other gauge conditions which are free from such a complication: i.e., free from the remnant gauge mode, and thus equivalently gauge invariant, see later. Systematic introduction of several different gauge conditions with explicit display of gauge-invariant combination of variables was made by Bardeen (1980) with huge success in later applications in the literature; see Kodama & Sasaki (1984) for a review.

In a less known work, in 1988 Bardeen has suggested a pragmatic way of deploying the gauge conditions depending on the problems. As in other gauge theories the gauge choice is the degree of freedom which can be employed depending on the advantages in achieving either mathematical simplification or plausible physical interpretation. Bardeen has arranged equations so that the fundamental gauge conditions can be implemented easily. Bardeen's formulation of linear perturbation theory was extended in Hwang (1991), Hwang & Noh (2001, 2005), and to the second order perturbations in Noh & Hwang (2004) and Hwang & Noh (2007).

Our aim in this work is to extend the Bardeen's formulation to the exact and fully nonlinear order in perturbations keeping the gauge advantages intact. We will display some applications, but since the main point is to present the new and powerful nonlinear perturbation equations, we will show detailed steps needed for the derivation in the Appendices A and B. The main equations are presented in Section 3, and in the Appendix D for multi-component case.

In Section 2 we review Bardeen's formulation and the gauge strategy in nonlinear perturbations. In Section 3 the exact and fully nonlinear equations are presented *assuming* scalar- and vector-type perturbations of an ideal fluid in a flat background, but without fixing the temporal gauge condition. In Section 4 we present equations valid to the third order in perturbations still without fixing the temporal gauge condition. In Section 5 we make several applications available in the comoving gauge including comparison with the Newtonian results. In Section 6 we consider the cases in other fundamental gauge conditions. In Section 7 we consider vorticity generation from pure scalar-type perturbation in the comoving gauge; we will notice the gauge dependence of the concept of vorticity (rotation) to the nonlinear order. In Section 8 we present the equation of gravitational waves generated from pure scalar- and vector type perturbations which also depends on the gauge choice. In Section 9 we analyze the case of a minimally coupled scalar field. We show that in the comoving gauge the ideal fluid equations remain valid for the scalar field with a particulary simple equation of state. In Section 10 we comment on the possible future extension of this work. In the Appendix C we present analysis based on the covariant formulation. We set $c \equiv 1$.

2. CONVENTION AND GAUGE STRATEGY

Here are our metric and the energy-momentum tensor conventions. We consider scalar- and vector-type perturbations in a *flat* Robertson-Walker background. The metric can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -a^2 \left(1 + 2\alpha\right) d\eta^2 - 2a^2 \left(\beta_{,i} + B^{(v)}_i\right) d\eta dx^i \\ & + a^2 \left[\left(1 + 2\varphi\right) \delta_{ij} + 2\gamma_{,ij} + C^{(v)}_{i,j} + C^{(v)}_{j,i} + 2C^{(t)}_{ij}\right] \\ & \times dx^i dx^j, \end{aligned} \qquad (1)$$

where a is the cosmic scale factor, and we assume $B^{(v)i}{}_{,i} \equiv 0 \equiv C^{(v)i}{}_{,i}$, and $C^{(t)i}{}_i = 0 = C^{(t)j}{}_{i,j}$ with indices of $B^{(v)}_i$, $C^{(v)}_i$ and $C^{(t)}_{ij}$ based on δ_{ij} as the metric; indices (v) and (t) indicate the vector- and tensor-type perturbations, respectively. Indices $a, b, \ldots$ indicate the spacetime indices, and $i, j, \ldots$ indicate the spatial ones; we follow the convention of Hawking & Ellis (1973).

The energy momentum tensor is given as (Ehlers 1993; Ellis 1971, 1973)

$$\widetilde{T}_{ab} = \widetilde{\mu} \widetilde{u}_a \widetilde{u}_b + \widetilde{p} \left(\widetilde{u}_a \widetilde{u}_b + \widetilde{g}_{ab}\right) + \widetilde{\pi}_{ab}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\widetilde{\mu}$ and $\widetilde{p}$ are the energy density and the pressure, respectively, $\widetilde{u}_a$ is a normalized fluid four-vector with $\widetilde{u}^a \widetilde{u}_a = -1$, and $\widetilde{\pi}_{ab}$ is the anisotropic stress with $\widetilde{\pi}_{ab} = \widetilde{\pi}_{ba}$, $\widetilde{\pi}^a_a \equiv 0$, and $\widetilde{\pi}_{ab} \widetilde{u}^b \equiv 0$; tildes indicate covariant quantities. In this work we consider an ideal fluid with $\widetilde{\pi}_{ab} = 0$. We set

$$\widetilde{\mu} \equiv \mu + \delta\mu \equiv \mu \left(1 + \delta\right), \quad \widetilde{p} \equiv p + \delta p, \quad \widetilde{u}_i \equiv a v_i, \qquad (3)$$

where μ and p are the background energy density and pressure, respectively. We set

$$v_i \equiv -v_{,i} + v^{(v)}_i, \qquad (4)$$

with $v^{(v)i}{}_{,i} \equiv 0$; v_i and $v^{(v)}_i$ are based on δ_{ij} as the metric. In this work, unless mentioned explicitly, we do *not* assume the perturbed metric and fluid quantities are small.

The decomposition of an arbitrary spatial vector into longitudinal and transverse parts as $B_i = \beta_{,i} + B^{(v)}_i$, and a symmetric spatial tensor into longitudinal, trace, transverse, and tracefree-transverse parts as $C_{ij} = \varphi \delta_{ij} + \gamma_{,ij} + \frac{1}{2}(C^{(v)}_{i,j} + C^{(v)}_{j,i}) + C^{(t)}_{ij}$ are possible (York 1973); here, all spatial indices are based on δ_{ij} as the metric. We assign the transverse part as the vector-type perturbation, and the tracefree-transverse part as the tensor-type perturbation, and the remaining longitudinal and trace parts as the scalar-type perturbation. We can show that the decomposition is possible order by order in perturbation to nonlinear order. However, only to the linear order in the spatially homogeneous-isotropic background the three types of perturbations decouple from each other. To the nonlinear order we have couplings among the scalar-, vector- and tensor-types of perturbations.

Here we *ignore* the tensor-type perturbation. Restriction of our attention only to the scalar- and vector-type perturbations can be regarded as our main *assumption* in this work. In Section 8, though, we will consider the contribution of tensor-type perturbation to the linear order.

In considering the linear perturbation theory, Bardeen has suggested to take the spatial gauge condition

$$\gamma \equiv 0 \equiv C^{(v)}_i, \qquad (5)$$

but has saved the temporal gauge condition for later use. To the linear order

$$\chi \equiv a\beta + a^2 \dot{\gamma}, \quad \Psi^{(v)}_i \equiv B^{(v)}_i + a \dot{C}^{(v)}_i, \qquad (6)$$

are spatially gauge-invariant, see below Equation (19); an overdot is a time derivative based on background cosmic time t ($dt \equiv a d\eta$). Under the spatial gauge condition in Equation (5) our metric convention becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -a^2 \left(1 + 2\alpha\right) d\eta^2 - 2a\chi_i d\eta dx^i \\ & + a^2 \left(1 + 2\varphi\right) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \end{aligned} \qquad (7)$$

where we set

$$\chi_i \equiv \chi_{,i} + a \Psi^{(v)}_i = a \left(\beta_{,i} + B^{(v)}_i\right). \qquad (8)$$

We will take the metric and the energy-momentum tensor conventions in Equations (7) and (2) even in nonlinear perturbation theory. Justification for taking the spatial gauge condition in Equation (5) to the nonlinear order will be made later in this section. It is essentially these spatial gauge conditions together with ignoring the tensor-type perturbation which allow our fully nonlinear and exact formulation available. As will be explained, we do not lose any generality or convenience by taking these spatial gauge conditions; the only other alternative choice of spatial gauge condition leaves remnant gauge modes even from the linear order, see below Equation (19).

The scalar-type perturbation equations without taking the temporal gauge condition are arranged in the following form by Bardeen (1988)

$$\kappa \equiv 3H\alpha - 3\dot{\varphi} - \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi, \tag{9}$$

$$4\pi G\delta\mu + H\kappa + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\varphi = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$\kappa + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi - 12\pi G(\mu + p)av = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\dot{\kappa} + 2H\kappa - 4\pi G\left(\delta\mu + 3\delta p\right) + \left(3\dot{H} + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\right)\alpha = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$\dot{\chi} + H\chi - \varphi - \alpha = 0, \tag{13}$$

$$\delta\dot{\mu} + 3H\left(\delta\mu + \delta p\right) - (\mu + p)\left(\kappa - 3H\alpha + \frac{1}{a}\Delta v\right) = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{[a^4(\mu + p)v]^{\cdot}}{a^4(\mu + p)} - \frac{1}{a}\alpha - \frac{\delta p}{a(\mu + p)} = 0, \tag{15}$$

where $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$; here we have *assumed* the flat background and an ideal fluid. These equations are arranged *without* taking the temporal gauge condition. One major advantage of this arrangement is that the equations are designed so that we can readily impose various fundamental gauge condition by simply setting one of the variables equal to zero. The vector-type perturbation equations without taking the temporal gauge condition are (Bardeen 1980)

$$\frac{\Delta}{2a^2}\Psi^{(v)}_i + 8\pi G\left(\mu + p\right)v^{(v)}_i = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\left[a^4\left(\mu + p\right)v^{(v)}_i\right]^{\cdot} = 0. \tag{17}$$

These vector-type equations are gauge-invariant. In this work we will present exact and fully nonlinear extension of these equations: see Equations (21)-(27).

The spatial gauge (congruence) condition in Equation (5) is a *unique* choice without remnant spatial gauge mode after taking the gauge condition. Thus, we do not lose any advantage upon our choice of the spatial gauge condition. On such a spatial gauge condition, the remaining variables can be regarded as spatially gauge-invariant ones (Bardeen 1988); see Equation (6).

Concerning the temporal gauge condition, however, we have several fundamental gauge conditions available most of which remove the temporal gauge mode completely. We have the following fundamental gauge conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{comoving gauge}: && v \equiv 0,\\
&\text{zero}-\text{shear gauge}: && \chi \equiv 0,\\
&\text{uniform}-\text{curvature gauge}: && \varphi \equiv 0,\\
&\text{uniform}-\text{expansion gauge}: && \kappa \equiv 0,\\
&\text{uniform}-\text{density gauge}: && \delta \equiv 0,\\
&\text{synchronous gauge}: && \alpha \equiv 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Also available as the gauge conditions are any non-gauge-invariant combination of these gauge conditions, thus we could have infinite number of different temporal gauge (spatial hyperspace or slicing) conditions available. As a consequence, we can manage arbitrary form of differential equation for any non-gauge-invariant variable using a certain, perhaps *ad hoc*, choice of the gauge condition (Hwang et al. 2010).

Bardeen's arrangement of equations apparently allows the simple adaptation of any fundamental gauge conditions: we simply set a perturbation variable equal to be zero. Except for the synchronous gauge the other temporal gauge conditions together with the spatial gauge condition in Equation (5) completely remove the gauge (coordinate transformation) degrees of freedom. Thus, each of the remaining perturbation variables has a unique counterpart of gauge-invariant combination involving the variable concerned and the variables used in the spatial and temporal gauge conditions; see below Equation (19). Therefore, all the variables in such a gauge condition can be equivalently regarded as the corresponding gauge-invariant variables.

The gauge conditions in Equations (5) and (18), and the above statements about gauge issue remain valid to fully nonlinear order as long as we take the nonlinear perturbation approach: this was shown in Section VI of Noh & Hwang (2004). In the following, we explain it again.

We consider gauge transformation properties under $\widehat{x}^a = x^a + \widetilde{\xi}^a(x^e)$ with $\widetilde{\xi}^0 = \xi^0$, $\widetilde{\xi}^i = \xi^i$, and $\xi_i \equiv \xi_{,i}/a + \xi^{(v)}_i$ with $\xi^{(v)i}{}_{,i} \equiv 0$; ξ^i is based on δ_{ij} as the metric. To the linear order we have (Bardeen 1988; Noh & Hwang 2004)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\delta} = \delta - \frac{\mu'}{\mu}\xi^0, \quad \widehat{v} = v - \xi^0, \quad \widehat{\alpha} = \alpha - \frac{1}{a}\left(a\xi^0\right)',\\
&\widehat{\beta} = \beta - \xi^0 + \left(\frac{1}{a}\xi\right)', \quad \widehat{\gamma} = \gamma - \frac{1}{a}\xi, \quad \widehat{\varphi} = \varphi - aH\xi^0,\\
&\widehat{\chi} = \chi - a\xi^0, \quad \widehat{\kappa} = \kappa + \left(3\dot{H} + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\right)a\xi^0,\\
&\widehat{B}^{(v)}_i = B^{(v)}_i + \xi^{(v)\prime}_i, \quad \widehat{C}^{(v)}_i = C^{(v)}_i - \xi^{(v)}_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where a prime denotes the time derivative based on η. Apparently $\gamma \equiv 0 \equiv C^{(v)}_i$ in all coordinates leaves $\xi_i = 0$, thus fixing the spatial gauge degree of freedom completely; the only other choice taking $\beta \equiv 0 \equiv B^{(v)}_i$ in all coordinates gives $\xi \neq 0 \neq \xi^{(v)}_i$, thus leaving remnant spatial gauge modes. Similarly, for the temporal gauge condition, for example, $v = 0$ in all coordinates leaves $\xi^0 = 0$, thus fixing the temporal gauge degree of freedom completely. The following combinations are gauge invariant

$$\varphi_v \equiv \varphi - aHv \equiv -aHv_\varphi,$$
$$\varphi_\chi \equiv \varphi - H\chi \equiv -H\chi_\varphi, \tag{20}$$

etc. This shows a systematic notation of expressing the various gauge-invariant combinations. This notation is practically useful to implement the spirit of Bardeen's formulation employing many gauge conditions which make all variables gauge invariant (Hwang 1991). The gauge-invariant combination, for example, φ_v is the same as φ in the $v \equiv 0$ hypersurface condition, thus $\varphi_v = \varphi|_{v\equiv 0}$. The temporal gauge condition, for example, $v = 0$ fixes the temporal gauge mode completely. Thus, any perturbation variables in that gauge, for example φ, can be equivalently regarded as a temporally gauge invariant ones, i.e., $\varphi|_v = \varphi_v$. Similar complete gauge fixings are true for the other temporal gauge conditions. The synchronous gauge ($\alpha \equiv 0$) is an exception, leaving a remnant temporal gauge mode $\xi^0(\eta, \mathbf{x}) \propto a^{-1}$ even after fixing the gauge condition.

Now, to the nonlinear order, we may set $\xi^0 = \xi^{0(1)} + \xi^{0(2)} + \dots$ and $\xi_i = \xi_i^{(1)} + \xi_i^{(2)} + \dots$ where the number inside the parenthesis indicates the perturbation order. To the second order, the gauge transformation properties of each variable have the same form as in Equations (19) with additional terms involving quadratic combinations of ξ^0, ξ and perturbation variables, all to the linear order. Since each of the quadratic terms involves ξ^0 or ξ to the linear order, as long as we take the spatial and temporal gauge conditions which lead to $\xi^{0(1)} = 0 = \xi_i^{(1)}$ (thus the synchronous gauge is excluded), we have exactly the same gauge transformation properties in Equation (19) now valid for pure second order variables: for example, we have $\widehat{v}^{(2)} = v^{(2)} - \xi^{0(2)}$, etc. Thus, by imposing the same (i.e., ones removing the gauge degrees of freedom completely) gauge conditions now to the second order, we can remove $\xi^{0(2)} = 0 = \xi_i^{(2)}$, thus leaving any variable in that gauge having a corresponding unique gauge-invariant counterpart: i.e., $\varphi|_v = \varphi_v$, etc. Apparently, the same process can be continued to any higher order perturbations. Explicit forms of the gauge transformation properties and gauge-invariant combinations to the second-order perturbation are presented in Noh & Hwang (2004) and Hwang, et al (2012).

The names of our gauge conditions can be justified to the nonlinear order by examining the ADM metric, extrinsic-curvature, intrinsic-curvature, and the fluid variables presented in the Appendices B and C. For the comoving gauge with $v \equiv 0$, ignoring the vector-type perturbation, from Equation (110) we have $\widetilde{u}_i = 0$, thus the fluid four-vector becomes the normal four-vector. For the zero-shear gauge with $\chi \equiv 0$, ignoring the vector-type perturbation, from Equation (109) we have $\overline{K}^i_j = 0$, thus having vanishing shear of the normal flow vectors $\widetilde{n}_a$; we have $\widetilde{\sigma}^{(n)}_{ij} = -\overline{K}_{ij}$, see the Appendix C. For the uniform-curvature gauge with $\varphi \equiv 0$, from Equation (108) we have $R^{(h)i}{}_{jk\ell} = 0$, thus having vanishing curvature of the spatial hypersurface; in the presence of the background spatial curvature, we have spatially uniform curvature. For the uniform-expansion gauge with $\kappa \equiv 0$, from Equation (109) we have $K = -3H$, thus having the trace of extrinsic curvature uniform; we have $\widetilde{\theta}^{(n)} \equiv \widetilde{n}^c{}_{;c} = -K$, see the Appendix C. For the uniform-density gauge with $\delta \equiv 0$, from Equation (3) we have $\widetilde{\mu} = \mu$, thus the density becomes uniform in the hypersurface.

3. EXACT AND FULLY NONLINEAR PERTURBATION EQUATIONS WITHOUT TAKING TEMPORAL GAUGE CONDITION

Fully nonlinear extension of Equations (9)-(17) will be presented below. These equations are the main result of this work. Based on the ADM equations, the derivation of our fundamental equations is unexpectedly simple. In order to help the reader who will attempt the derivation we review the ADM formulation in the Appendix A, and present detailed steps required for the derivation in the Appendix B.
Definition of κ:

$$\kappa + 3H\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\left[3\dot{\varphi} + \frac{1}{a^2}\left(\chi^k{}_{,k} + \frac{\chi^k\varphi_{,k}}{1+2\varphi}\right)\right] = 0. \tag{21}$$

ADM energy constraint:

$$-\frac{3}{2}\left(H^2 - \frac{8\pi G}{3}\widetilde{\mu} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right) + H\kappa + \frac{\Delta\varphi}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2} = \frac{1}{6}\kappa^2 - 4\pi G\left(\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}\right)\frac{v^i v_i}{1+2\varphi} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^3} - \frac{1}{4}\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i. \tag{22}$$

ADM momentum constraint:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2}{3}\kappa_{,i} + \frac{1}{2a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\left(\Delta\chi_i + \frac{1}{3}\chi^k{}_{,ik}\right) + 8\pi G\left(\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}\right)av_i\sqrt{1 + \frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}} \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\Bigg\{\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}_{,j}}{\mathcal{N}} - \frac{\varphi_{,j}}{1+2\varphi}\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi^j{}_{,i} + \chi_i{}^{,j}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\delta^j_i\chi^k{}_{,k}\right] \\
&\quad\quad - \frac{\varphi^{,j}}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\left(\chi_i\varphi_{,j} + \frac{1}{3}\chi_j\varphi_{,i}\right) + \frac{\mathcal{N}}{1+2\varphi}\nabla_j\left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi^j\varphi_{,i} + \chi_i\varphi^{,j} - \frac{2}{3}\delta^j_i\chi^k\varphi_{,k}\right)\right]\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Trace of ADM propagation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -3\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\dot{H} - 3H^2 - 4\pi G\left(\widetilde{\mu} + 3\widetilde{p}\right) + \Lambda + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\dot{\kappa} + 2H\kappa + \frac{\Delta\mathcal{N}}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)} \\
& \quad = \frac{1}{3}\kappa^2 + 8\pi G\left(\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}\right)\frac{v^i v_i}{1+2\varphi} - \frac{1}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\left(\chi^i\kappa_{,i} + \frac{\varphi^{,i}\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{1+2\varphi}\right) + \overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i. \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

Tracefree ADM propagation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 3H - \kappa + \frac{\chi^k}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_k\right) \\
& \quad \times\left\{\frac{1}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi^i{}_{,j} + \chi_j{}^{,i}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\chi^k{}_{,k} - \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\chi^i\varphi_{,j} + \chi_j\varphi^{,i} - \frac{2}{3}\delta^i_j\chi^k\varphi_{,k}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\left[\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)\varphi + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)\mathcal{N}\right] \\
& \quad = 8\pi G\frac{\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}}{1+2\varphi}\left(v^i v_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j v^k v_k\right) + \frac{1}{a^4\mathcal{N}^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi^{i,k}\chi_{j,k} - \chi_{k,j}\chi^{k,i}\right)\right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\chi^{k,i}\chi_k\varphi_{,j} - \chi^{i,k}\chi_j\varphi_{,k} + \chi_{k,j}\chi^k\varphi^{,i} - \chi_{j,k}\chi^i\varphi^{,k}\right) + \frac{2}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\left(\chi^i\chi_j\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k} - \chi^k\chi_k\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,j}\right)\right] \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\left[\frac{3}{1+2\varphi}\left(\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,j} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}\right) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\varphi^{,i}\mathcal{N}_{,j} + \varphi_{,j}\mathcal{N}^{,i} - \frac{2}{3}\delta^i_j\varphi^{,k}\mathcal{N}_{,k}\right)\right]. \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}
$$

ADM energy conservation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\chi^i}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_i\right)\left[\widetilde{\mu} + \left(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}\right)\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}\right] + \left(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}\right)(3H-\kappa)\left(1 + \frac{4}{3}\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}\right) \\
& \quad + \left(\nabla_i + \frac{3\varphi_{,i}}{1+2\varphi} + 2\frac{\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{\mathcal{N}}\right)\left(\frac{\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}}{a(1+2\varphi)}v^i\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}\right) \\
& \quad = -\frac{\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)^2}\left[\chi^{i,j}v_i v_j - \frac{1}{3}\chi^j{}_{,j}v^i v_i - \frac{2}{1+2\varphi}\left(v^i v^j\chi_i\varphi_{,j} - \frac{1}{3}v^i v_i\chi^j\varphi_{,j}\right)\right]. \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}
$$

ADM momentum conservation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 3H - \kappa + \frac{\chi^j}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_j\right)\left[a\left(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}\right)v_i\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}\right] + \widetilde{p}_{,i} + \left(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}\right)\frac{\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{\mathcal{N}} = -\left[\left(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}\right)\frac{v^j v_i}{1+2\varphi}\right]_{,j} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}\left(\frac{\chi^j}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,i}\left(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}\right)v_j\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}} - \frac{\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}}{1+2\varphi}v^j\left[\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(3v_i\varphi_{,j} - v_j\varphi_{,i}\right) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(v_i\mathcal{N}_{,j} + v_j\mathcal{N}_{,i}\right)\right]. \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (21) follows from the definition of κ as $K = -3H + \kappa$; K is the trace of extrinsic curvature presented in Equation (109). Equations (22)-(27) follow from the ADM equations in Equations (97)-(102) in the Appendix A. The variable $\mathcal{N}$ is related to the lapse function in Equation (105), and $\overline{K}^i_j$ is the tracefree part of extrinsic curvature in Equation (109). With $\mathcal{N}$ and $\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i$ given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N} = \sqrt{1 + 2\alpha + \frac{\chi^k\chi_k}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}}, \quad \overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i = \frac{1}{a^4\mathcal{N}^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\chi^{i,j}\left(\chi_{i,j} + \chi_{j,i}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\chi^i{}_{,i}\chi^j{}_{,j}\right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{4}{1+2\varphi}\left[\frac{1}{2}\chi^i\varphi^{,j}\left(\chi_{i,j} + \chi_{j,i}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\chi^i{}_{,i}\chi^j\varphi_{,j}\right] + \frac{2}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\left(\chi^i\chi_i\varphi^{,j}\varphi_{,j} + \frac{1}{3}\chi^i\chi^j\varphi_{,i}\varphi_{,j}\right)\right\}, \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations (21)-(27) are complete set of fully nonlinear perturbation equations valid for the scalar- and vector-type perturbations assuming ideal fluid in a flat background; instead of Equations (26) and (27) we can use alternative forms in Equations (124) and (125), respectively. We can consider this set of equations as exact, or treat it perturbatively to fully nonlinear order. The perturbation variables are $\delta\mu$, v_i, κ, χ_i, φ and α; δp should be provided by an equation of state. Notice that we have not separated the background order equations yet; we only have assumed that a is a function of time. The vector-type perturbation is contained in v_i and χ_i as

$$v_i \equiv -v_{,i} + v_i^{(v)}, \quad \chi_i \equiv \chi_{,i} + a\Psi_i^{(v)}. \tag{29}$$

For the vector-type perturbation, Equations (23) and (27) to the linear order give Equations (16) and (17), respectively. For the pure scalar-type perturbation we set $v_i^{(v)} = 0 = \Psi_i^{(v)}$, thus $v_i = -v_{,i}$ and $\chi_i = \chi_{,i}$.

In the above set of equations we have not taken the temporal gauge condition yet. In a sense the equations are in a sort of gauge-ready form. As the temporal gauge condition we can impose any one condition in Equation (18), except for the synchronous gauge which leaves the remnant gauge mode; see explanation in the next paragraph below Equation (20). Thus, as the gauge conditions we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{comoving gauge}: && v \equiv 0, \\
&\text{zero} - \text{shear gauge}: && \chi \equiv 0, \\
&\text{uniform} - \text{curvature gauge}: && \varphi \equiv 0, \\
&\text{uniform} - \text{expansion gauge}: && \kappa \equiv 0, \\
&\text{uniform} - \text{density gauge}: && \delta \equiv 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

now valid to all perturbation orders. These are the fundamental gauge conditions available to fully nonlinear order. Also available as the gauge condition is setting any non-gauge-invariant linear combination of these fundamental gauge conditions equals to zero. We can also take the different gauge condition for the different perturbation order. In these ways we have infinite number of gauge conditions available, which was true even to the linear order, now to each perturbation order. Under these gauge conditions which remove the gauge mode completely, all perturbation variables have unique gauge-invariant counterparts, thus we can identify these as the gauge-invariant variables. Therefore, the nonlinear perturbation variables in any of our suggested gauge conditions mentioned above can be regarded as gauge-invariant ones.

In the Appendix D we will present the case of multiple-component fluid system. The above set of equations remain valid with the fluid quantities interpreted as the collective ones. We will present the relations of the collective fluid quantities with the individual ones, see Equations (132) and (137), and present the additional energy and the momentum conservation equations of the individual component, see Equations (143)-(146) and the prescription explained above Equation (143).

4. THIRD-ORDER PERTURBATION EQUATIONS IN A GAUGE-READY FORM

In the nonlinear perturbation approach we assume the perturbation variables δ, v_i, κ, χ_i, α and φ are small. As one example, here we present pure scalar-type perturbation equations valid to the third order in perturbations without fixing the temporal gauge condition, thus $v_i = -v_{,i}$ and $\chi_i = \chi_{,i}$. Equations up to third-order perturbations are needed to get the leading nonlinear contribution to the power spectrum.

Definition of κ:

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa - 3H\alpha + 3\dot{\varphi} + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi = {}& \frac{1}{2a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\left(3H + 3\dot{\varphi} - 6H\varphi - 9H\alpha + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi\right) - \frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}\left(1 - \alpha - 4\varphi\right) \\
& + \frac{3}{2}H\alpha^2\left(-3 + 5\alpha\right) + \left(3\dot{\varphi} + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi\right)\left(\alpha + 2\varphi - \frac{3}{2}\alpha^2 - 2\alpha\varphi - 4\varphi^2\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

ADM energy constraint:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{3}{2}\left(H^2 - \frac{8\pi G}{3}\mu - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right) + 4\pi G\delta\mu + H\kappa + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\varphi \\
& \quad = \frac{1}{6}\kappa^2 - 4\pi G\left(\mu + p\right)v^{,i}v_{,i}\left(1 - 2\varphi + \frac{\delta\mu + \delta p}{\mu + p}\right) + 4\left(\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\varphi\right)\varphi\left(1 - 3\varphi\right) + \frac{3}{2a^2}\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}\left(1 - 6\varphi\right) \\
& \quad\quad - \frac{1}{4a^4}\left\{\left[\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,ij} - \frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)^2\right]\left(1 - 2\alpha - 4\varphi\right) - 4\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,i}\varphi_{,j} + \frac{4}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)\chi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

ADM momentum constraint:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{3}\left[\kappa+\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi-12\pi G\left(\mu+p\right)av\right]_{,i} &= 8\pi G\left(\mu+p\right)av_{,i}\left(\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}+\frac{1}{2}v^{,k}v_{,k}\right)\\
&+\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi\right)_{,i}\left(\alpha+2\varphi-\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2-2\alpha\varphi-4\varphi^2+\frac{1}{2a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{a^2}\left[\alpha_{,j}\left(1-3\alpha-2\varphi\right)-\varphi_{,j}\left(1-\alpha-4\varphi\right)+\frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,kj}\right]\left(\nabla^j\nabla_i-\frac{1}{3}\delta^j_i\Delta\right)\chi\\
&+\frac{1}{a^2}\left[\left(\Delta\chi\right)\varphi_{,i}+\chi_{,i}\Delta\varphi+\frac{1}{3}\left(\chi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}\right)_{,i}\right]\left(1-\alpha-4\varphi\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{a^2}\left[\chi_{,i}\varphi^{,k}\left(\alpha_{,k}+\varphi_{,k}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\chi^{,k}\left(\varphi_{,k}\varphi_{,i}+3\varphi_{,i}\alpha_{,k}-2\varphi_{,k}\alpha_{,i}\right)\right]. \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

Trace of ADM propagation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&-3\dot{H}-3H^2-4\pi G\left(\mu+3p\right)+\Lambda+\dot{\kappa}+2H\kappa-4\pi G\left(\delta\mu+3\delta p\right)+\left(3\dot{H}+\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\right)\alpha\\
&\quad=\dot{\kappa}\left(\alpha-\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2+\frac{1}{2a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\kappa^2+8\pi G\left(\mu+p\right)v^{,i}v_{,i}\left(1-2\varphi+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{3}{2}\dot{H}\left[3\alpha^2-\frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\left(1-3\alpha-2\varphi\right)-5\alpha^3\right]+\left(\alpha+2\varphi-\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2-2\alpha\varphi-4\varphi^2+\frac{1}{2a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\right)\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\alpha\\
&\quad+\left(1-\alpha-2\varphi\right)\frac{\Delta}{2a^2}\left[\alpha^2-\frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\left(1-\alpha-2\varphi\right)-\alpha^3\right]-\frac{1}{a^2}\left[\chi^{,i}\kappa_{,i}\left(1-\alpha-2\varphi\right)+\varphi^{,i}\alpha_{,i}\left(1-2\alpha-4\varphi\right)\right]\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{a^4}\left\{\left[\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,ij}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)^2\right]\left(1-2\alpha-4\varphi\right)-5\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,i}\varphi_{,j}+\frac{4}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)\chi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}\right\}. \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

Tracefree ADM propagation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{a^2}\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)\left(\dot{\chi}+H\chi-\alpha-\varphi\right)=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+3H\right)\left\{\frac{1}{a^2}\left[\left(\alpha+2\varphi-\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2-2\alpha\varphi-4\varphi^2+\frac{1}{2a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\right)\right.\right.\\
&\quad\left.\left.\times\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)\chi+\left(\chi^{,i}\varphi_{,j}+\chi_{,j}\varphi^{,i}-\frac{2}{3}\delta^i_j\chi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}\right)\left(1-\alpha-4\varphi\right)\right]\right\}\\
&\quad+\left[\left(\alpha-\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2+\frac{1}{2a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\kappa-\frac{1}{a^2}\left(1-\alpha-2\varphi\right)\chi^{,k}\nabla_k\right]\left\{\frac{1}{a^2}\left[\left(1-\alpha-2\varphi\right)\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)\chi\right.\right.\\
&\quad\left.\left.-\left(\chi^{,i}\varphi_{,j}+\chi_{,j}\varphi^{,i}-\frac{2}{3}\delta^i_j\chi^{,\ell}\varphi_{,\ell}\right)\right]\right\}-\frac{1}{a^4}\varphi^{,k}\left(\chi^{,i}{}_{k}\chi_{,j}+\chi_{,jk}\chi^{,i}-\frac{2}{3}\delta^i_j\chi_{,k\ell}\chi^{,\ell}\right)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{a^2}\left(\alpha+2\varphi-\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2-2\alpha\varphi-4\varphi^2+\frac{1}{2a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\right)\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)\alpha\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2a^2}\left(1-\alpha-2\varphi\right)\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)\left[-\alpha^2+\frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\left(1-\alpha-2\varphi\right)+\alpha^3\right]\\
&\quad-\frac{3}{a^2}\left(\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,j}-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}\right)\left(1-6\varphi\right)-\frac{1}{a^2}\left(\varphi^{,i}\alpha_{,j}+\varphi_{,j}\alpha^{,i}-\frac{2}{3}\delta^i_j\varphi^{,k}\alpha_{,k}\right)\left(1-2\alpha-4\varphi\right)\\
&\quad-\frac{4}{a^2}\varphi\left(1-3\varphi\right)\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)\varphi+8\pi G\left(\mu+p\right)\left(v^{,i}v_{,j}-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_jv^{,k}v_{,k}\right)\left(1-2\varphi+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}\right). \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

ADM energy conservation:

$$\dot{\mu} + 3H(\mu+p) + \delta\dot{\mu} + 3H(\delta\mu+\delta p) - (\mu+p)\kappa - \dot{\mu}\alpha - (\mu+p)\frac{\Delta}{a}v = (\delta\mu+\delta p)\kappa + \frac{4}{3}(\mu+p)\kappa v^{,k}v_{,k}$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\dot{\mu}\left[-3\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}(1-3\alpha-2\varphi) + 5\alpha^3\right] + \delta\dot{\mu}\left(\alpha - \frac{3}{2}\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2a^2}\chi^{k}\chi_{,k}\right) - \frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,i}\delta\mu_{,i}(1-\alpha-2\varphi)$$
$$-(1-\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[(\mu+p)v^{,k}v_{,k}\left(1-2\varphi+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}\right)\right] - 4H(\mu+p)v^{,k}v_{,k}\left(1-2\varphi+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{a}(\mu+p)\nabla_i\left\{v^{,i}\left[-2\varphi+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}(1-2\varphi)+4\varphi^2+\frac{1}{2}v^{,k}v_{,k}\right]\right\}$$
$$+\frac{1}{a}(\mu+p)v^{,i}\left(1+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}\right)\left[3\varphi_{,i}(1-4\varphi)+2\alpha_{,i}(1-2\alpha-2\varphi)\right]$$
$$+\frac{1}{a^2}(\mu+p)v^{,i}\left[\frac{2}{a}\chi_{,ij}\chi^{,j} - 2v_{,ij}\chi^{,j} - \chi_{,ij}v^{,j} + \frac{1}{3}(\Delta\chi)v_{,i}\right]. \tag{36}$$

ADM momentum conservation:

$$\left\{\frac{1}{a^3}\left[a^4(\mu+p)v\right]^{\cdot} - (\mu+p)\alpha - \delta p\right\}_{,i} = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+3H\right)\left[a(\mu+p)v_{,i}\left(\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}+\frac{1}{2}v^{,k}v_{,k}\right)\right]$$
$$+\left[\left(\alpha-\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2+\frac{1}{2a^2}\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\kappa-\frac{1}{a^2}(1-\alpha-2\varphi)\chi^{,k}\nabla_k\right]\left[a(\mu+p)v_{,i}\left(1+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}\right)\right]$$
$$+\left[(\mu+p)v^{,k}v_{,i}\left(1-2\varphi+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}\right)\right]_{,k} - \frac{1}{a}(\mu+p)v_{,k}\left(1+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}\right)\left[\chi^{,k}{}_{i}(1-\alpha-2\varphi)-2\chi^{,k}\varphi_{,i}\right]$$
$$+(\mu+p)v^{,k}\left[v_{,i}(\alpha+3\varphi)_{,k}+v_{,k}(\alpha-\varphi)_{,i}\right]$$
$$+(\mu+p)\left\{\alpha_{,i}\left[-2\alpha+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}(1-2\alpha)+4\alpha^2\right]+\frac{1}{2a^2}\left(1+\frac{\delta\mu+\delta p}{\mu+p}\right)\left[\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}(1-2\alpha-2\varphi)\right]_{,i}\right\}. \tag{37}$$

To the background order, Equations (32), (34) and (36), respectively, give

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\mu + \frac{\Lambda}{3}, \quad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\mu+3p) + \frac{\Lambda}{3}, \quad \dot{\mu} + 3H(\mu+p) = 0. \tag{38}$$

To the linear order, assuming the background equations separately, Equations (31)-(37) give Equations (9)-(15).

5. COMOVING GAUGE

In sections 5 and 6 we consider only the scalar-type perturbation, thus $v_i = -v_{,i}$ and $\chi_i = \chi_{,i}$. In the comoving gauge we set

$$v \equiv 0. \tag{39}$$

We have $v_i = 0$, thus the fluid four-vector becomes a normal one with $\widetilde{u}_i = 0$. Equation (27) gives

$$\widetilde{p}_{,i} = -(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p})\frac{\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{\mathcal{N}}. \tag{40}$$

Equations (21)-(27) give a (redundantly) complete set of equations for the variables δ, κ, φ, χ and α. We can treat this set of equation either exactly or perturbatively to all orders. As explained in Section 2 and below Equation (30), all perturbation variables in the comoving gauge are gauge invariant to the nonlinear order as

$$\delta = \delta_v, \quad \kappa = \kappa_v, \quad \varphi = \varphi_v, \quad \chi = \chi_v, \quad \alpha = \alpha_v. \tag{41}$$

We have several ways of having closed form second order differential equations. (I) Equations (26) and (24), together with Equations (22), (23) and (27) to determine α, φ and χ, give equations for $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\kappa}$. (II) Equations (21) and (24), together with Equations (22), (23) and (27) to determine δ, α and χ, give equations for $\dot{\varphi}$ and $\dot{\kappa}$. Etc. For example, assuming the background equations are valid separately, Equation (26) gives

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}}\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\chi^{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_i\right)\widetilde{\mu} - \frac{\dot{\mu}}{\mu+p}. \tag{42}$$

By removing κ in Equation (24) we have a second-order differential equation for δ as

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left[\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}}\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\chi^{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_i\right)\widetilde{\mu}-\frac{\dot{\mu}}{\mu+p}\right]^{\displaystyle\cdot}+2H\left[\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}}\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\chi^{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_i\right)\widetilde{\mu}-\frac{\dot{\mu}}{\mu+p}\right]$$
$$-3\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}-1\right)\dot{H}-4\pi G\left(\delta\mu+3\delta p\right)+\frac{\Delta\mathcal{N}}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}=\frac{1}{3}\kappa^2-\frac{1}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\left(\chi^{i}\kappa_{,i}+\frac{\varphi^{,i}\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{1+2\varphi}\right)+\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i. \quad (43)$$

Similar equation can be derived from Equation (123) evaluated for $v_i=0$.

If we have a solution for a given variable we can derive the rest of the variables using the above complete set of equations. From these solutions we can derive all the variables in any other gauge conditions using the gauge-ready form equations in (21)-(27) and explicit construction of gauge-invariant combinations; for solutions in the matter dominated era to the second order perturbations, see Hwang, et al (2012).

5.1. *Zero-pressure case*

For $\widetilde{p}=0$, Equation (40) gives $\mathcal{N}_{,i}=0$. Thus, we can set $\mathcal{N}=1$ with

$$\alpha=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\chi^{,k}\chi_{,k}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}. \quad (44)$$

Thus, in our spatial gauge condition with $\gamma=0$, the comoving gauge ($v=0$) is no longer synchronous ($\alpha=0$) to the nonlinear order even in the zero-pressure medium (Hwang & Noh 2006).

Equations (24) and (26) together with Equations (22) and (23) provide a complete set of equations for δ and κ. We have

$$\left(\frac{\dot{\mu}}{\mu}+3H\right)(1+\delta)+\dot{\delta}-\kappa=\delta\kappa-\frac{\chi^{,i}\delta_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}, \quad (45)$$

$$-3\dot{H}-3H^2-4\pi G\mu+\Lambda+\dot{\kappa}+2H\kappa-4\pi G\delta\mu=\frac{1}{3}\kappa^2-\frac{\chi^{,i}\kappa_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}+\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i, \quad (46)$$

with χ and φ determined by

$$\kappa_{,i}+\frac{\Delta\chi_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}=\frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\left[2\left(\Delta\chi\right)\varphi_{,i}+\frac{1}{2}\chi^{,k}\varphi_{,ik}-\chi_{,ik}\varphi^{,k}+\frac{3}{2}\chi_{,i}\Delta\varphi-\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\chi_{,i}\varphi_{,k}+\frac{1}{3}\chi_{,k}\varphi_{,i}\right)\varphi^{,k}\right], \quad (47)$$

$$-\frac{3}{2}\left(H^2-\frac{8\pi G}{3}\mu-\frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)+H\kappa+4\pi G\mu\delta+\frac{\Delta\varphi}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2}=\frac{1}{6}\kappa^2+\frac{3}{2}\frac{\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^3}-\frac{1}{4}\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i, \quad (48)$$

where

$$\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i=\frac{1}{a^4(1+2\varphi)^2}$$
$$\times\left\{\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,ij}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)^2+\frac{4}{1+2\varphi}\left[\frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)\chi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}-\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,i}\varphi_{,j}\right]+\frac{2}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{3}\left(\chi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}\right)^2+\chi^{,i}\chi_{,i}\varphi^{,j}\varphi_{,j}\right]\right\}. \quad (49)$$

These equations are still exact. *Assuming* the background equations are valid separately, from Equations (45) and (46) together with Equations (47) and Equation (48), we can derive a closed form second-order differential equation for δ or κ. For δ, we have

$$\ddot{\delta}+2H\dot{\delta}-4\pi G\mu\delta=\frac{1}{a^2}\left(a^2\kappa\delta\right)^{\displaystyle\cdot}-\frac{1}{a^2}\left(\frac{\chi^{,i}\delta_{,i}}{1+2\varphi}\right)^{\displaystyle\cdot}+\frac{1}{3}\kappa^2-\frac{\chi^{,i}\kappa_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}+\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i. \quad (50)$$

Using Equations (45), (47) and (48) to determine κ, φ and χ, we can express (perturbatively) this equation purely in terms of δ.

Now, equation for $\dot{\varphi}$ follows from Equations (21) and (47) as

$$\left[\ln\left(1+2\varphi\right)\right]^{\displaystyle\cdot}_{,i}=\frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\left[\chi^{,k}\varphi_{,ik}+\chi_{,i}\Delta\varphi-\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\chi_{,i}\varphi_{,k}+3\chi_{,k}\varphi_{,i}\right)\varphi^{,k}\right], \quad (51)$$

thus $\dot{\varphi}=0$ to the linear order. Together with Equation (46), using Equations (47) and (48) to determine δ and χ, we have the closed form equations purely in terms of φ and κ.

5.2. *Equations to fifth order in the zero-pressure case*

As one exercise demonstrating the power of our fully nonlinear formulation, we present the perturbation equations to the fifth order. We consider a zero-pressure fluid in the comoving gauge. Obviously the derivation is quite simple requiring only Taylor expansion of $1/(1+2\varphi)$ terms. *Assuming* the background equations are valid separately, from Equations (45)-(49) we have

$$\dot{\delta} - \kappa = \delta\kappa - \frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,i}\delta_{,i}\left(1 - 2\varphi + 4\varphi^2 - 8\varphi^3\right), \tag{52}$$

$$\dot{\kappa} + 2H\kappa - 4\pi G\delta\mu = \frac{1}{3}\kappa^2 - \frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,i}\kappa_{,i}\left(1 - 2\varphi + 4\varphi^2 - 8\varphi^3\right) + \overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i, \tag{53}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2}{3}\left(\kappa + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi\right)_{,i} = \frac{1}{a^2}\Bigg[&\frac{4}{3}\left(\Delta\chi_{,i}\right)\varphi\left(1 - 2\varphi + 4\varphi^2 - 8\varphi^3\right) - \left(\chi^{,j}{}_{i} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^j_i\Delta\chi\right)\varphi_{,j}\left(1 - 4\varphi + 12\varphi^2 - 32\varphi^3\right) \\ &+ \left(\chi^{,j}\varphi_{,i} + \chi_{,i}\varphi^{,j} - \frac{2}{3}\delta^j_i\chi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}\right)_{,j}\left(1 - 4\varphi + 12\varphi^2 - 32\varphi^3\right) - \left(\chi_{,i}\varphi_{,j} + \frac{1}{3}\chi_{,j}\varphi_{,i}\right)\varphi^{,j}\left(1 - 6\varphi + 24\varphi^2\right)\Bigg],\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

$$H\kappa + 4\pi G\mu\delta + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\varphi = \frac{1}{6}\kappa^2 + 4\left(\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\varphi\right)\varphi\left(1 - 3\varphi + 8\varphi^2 - 20\varphi^3\right) + \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{a^2}\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}\left(1 - 6\varphi + 24\varphi^2 - 80\varphi^3\right) - \frac{1}{4}\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i, \tag{55}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i = \frac{1}{a^4}\Bigg\{&\left[\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,ij} - \frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)^2\right]\left(1 - 4\varphi + 12\varphi^2 - 32\varphi^3\right) + 4\left[\frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)\chi^{,i}\varphi_{,i} - \chi^{,ij}\chi_{,i}\varphi_{,j}\right]\left(1 - 6\varphi + 24\varphi^2\right) \\ &+2\left[\frac{1}{3}\left(\chi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}\right)^2 + \chi^{,i}\chi_{,i}\varphi^{,j}\varphi_{,j}\right]\left(1 - 8\varphi\right)\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

From Equations (52) and (53) together with Equation (54) to the fourth order and Equation (55) to the third order, we can derive a closed form second-order differential equation for δ or κ.

The fifth order perturbation equation is needed to have the next-to-leading-order nonlinear contribution to the power spectrum. The leading nonlinear order power spectrum demands third order perturbation and the results for the density and velocity power spectra are presented in Jeong *et al* (2011). The fourth order perturbation equations will be needed to have the next-to-leading-order nonlinear contribution to the non-Gaussianity. The leading order non-Gussianity demands second order perturbation.

5.3. *Pure Einstein's gravity corrections to fully nonlinear order*

We can arrange Equations (45), (46) and (47) in the following forms

$$\dot{\delta} - \kappa - \delta\kappa + \frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,i}\delta_{,i} = \frac{2\varphi\chi^{,i}\delta_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}, \tag{57}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\kappa} + 2H\kappa - 4\pi G\delta\mu - \frac{1}{3}\kappa^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,i}\kappa_{,i} - \frac{1}{a^4}\left[\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,ij} - \frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)^2\right] &= \frac{2\varphi\chi^{,i}\kappa_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)} - \frac{4\varphi(1+\varphi)}{a^4(1+2\varphi)^2}\left[\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,ij} - \frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)^2\right] \\ &+\frac{2}{a^4(1+2\varphi)^3}\left\{\frac{2}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)\chi^{,i}\varphi_{,i} - 2\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,i}\varphi_{,j} + \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left[\frac{1}{3}\left(\chi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}\right)^2 + \chi^{,i}\chi_{,i}\varphi^{,j}\varphi_{,j}\right]\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\kappa + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi\right)_{,i} &= \frac{2\varphi\Delta\chi_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)} \\ &+\frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\left[2\left(\Delta\chi\right)\varphi_{,i} + \frac{1}{2}\chi^{,k}\varphi_{,ik} - \chi_{,ik}\varphi^{,k} + \frac{3}{2}\chi_{,i}\Delta\varphi - \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\chi_{,i}\varphi_{,k} + \frac{1}{3}\chi_{,k}\varphi_{,i}\right)\varphi^{,k}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Terms in the right-hand-sides are pure Einstein's gravity corrections; see the next Section. In the zero-pressure case Newtonian perturbation is closed at the second order in perturbations (Peebles 1980; Vishniac 1983; Zel'dovich & Novikov 1983). Notice that the pure Einstein's gravity contributions involve φ which is related to the (spatial) curvature perturbation in the comoving gauge, see Equation (108).

5.4. *Relativistic/Newtonian correspondence*

Except for terms involving φ Equations (57)-(59) coincide exactly with the Newtonian hydrodynamic equations of the mass and the momentum conservation equations (removing the gravitational potential in the momentum conservation equation using the Poisson's equation), respectively. This statement is true to fully nonlinear order in perturbation in the presence of the cosmological constant in the background. That is, by ignoring φ terms (we cannot do this in general, though) Equations (45), (46) and (47) give

$$\dot{\delta} - \kappa = \delta\kappa - \frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,i}\delta_{,i}, \tag{60}$$

$$\dot{\kappa} + 2H\kappa - 4\pi G\left(\delta\mu + 3\delta p\right) = \frac{1}{3}\kappa^2 - \frac{1}{a^2}\chi^{,i}\kappa_{,i} + \frac{1}{a^4}\left[\chi^{,ij}\chi_{,ij} - \frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta\chi\right)^2\right], \tag{61}$$

$$\kappa + \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\chi = 0. \tag{62}$$

By identifying δ and $\mathbf{u}$ as the Newtonian density and velocity perturbations with

$$\kappa \equiv -\frac{1}{a}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}, \tag{63}$$

thus $\chi = au$ with $\mathbf{u} \equiv \nabla u$, we have

$$\dot{\delta} + \frac{1}{a}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla\cdot\left(\delta\mathbf{u}\right), \tag{64}$$

$$\frac{1}{a}\nabla\cdot\left(\dot{\mathbf{u}} + H\mathbf{u}\right) + 4\pi G\varrho\delta = -\frac{1}{a^2}\nabla\cdot\left(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\right), \tag{65}$$

which coincide exactly with the Newtonian hydrodynamic equations with the Newtonian gravitational potential removed (Peebles 1980; Vishniac 1983; Noh & Hwang 2004).

Therefore, to the fully nonlinear order the pure Einstein's gravity contributions appear in terms of φ. The φ terms start appearing from the third order perturbation, thus we have exact relativistic/Newtonian correspondences of the density and velocity perturbations in the comoving gauge to the second-order perturbation (Noh & Hwang 2004). In the comoving gauge, however we do not have correspondence with the Newtonian gravitational potential. In the conventional Newtonian limit of Einstein's gravity, α usually corresponds to Newtonian gravitational potential. As in Equation (44), α vanishes to the linear order, and we no longer have the proper Newtonian correspondence for the gravitational potential in the comoving gauge.

6. OTHER FUNDAMENTAL GAUGES

6.1. *Zero-shear gauge*

In the zero-shear gauge we simply set

$$\chi \equiv 0. \tag{66}$$

We have $N_i = 0 = \overline{K}^i_j$. The metric becomes

$$ds^2 = -a^2\left(1 + 2\alpha\right)d\eta^2 + a^2\left(1 + 2\varphi\right)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j. \tag{67}$$

In linear theory, the zero-shear gauge is quite popular in the literature (Mukhanov et al. 1992), despite its shortcomings in numerical treatment in the early universe and in the Boltzmann code (Ma & Bertschinger 1995; Hwang & Noh 2001). Equations (21)-(27) give a (redundantly) complete set of equations for the nonlinear perturbation variables δ, v, κ, φ and α. All perturbation variables in the zero-shear gauge are gauge invariant with

$$\delta = \delta_\chi, \quad v = v_\chi, \quad \kappa = \kappa_\chi, \quad \varphi = \varphi_\chi, \quad \alpha = \alpha_\chi. \tag{68}$$

There are several ways of having the closed form second order differential equations. (I) Equations (26) and (24), together with Equations (22), (23) and (25) to determine α, φ and χ, give equations for $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\kappa}$. (II) Equations (26) and (27), together with Equations (25), (22) and (23) to determine α, φ and κ, give equations for $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{v}$. Etc.

It is known that in the small-scale limit (inside the visual horizon) in the matter dominated era, the density, velocity and gravitational potential variables (δ, v and α) in the zero-shear gauge coincide exactly with the Newtonian results (Hwang & Noh 1999); this is true even for the variables in the uniform-expansion gauge. We have shown that the correspondences continue to be valid even to the second-order perturbation (Hwang, et al. 2012). Whether this relativistic/Newtonian correspondences would continue to higher order in perturbation or valid in to fully nonlinear order is an interesting subject for future investigation.

6.2. *Uniform-curvature gauge*

In the uniform-curvature gauge we set

$$\varphi \equiv 0. \tag{69}$$

We have $R^{(h)i}{}_{jk\ell} = 0$, thus flat in the flat background. The metric becomes

$$ds^2 = -a^2\left(1 + 2\alpha\right)d\eta^2 - 2a\chi_{,i}d\eta dx^i + a^2\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j. \tag{70}$$

Equations (21)-(27) give a complete set of equations for variables δ, v, κ, χ and α. All perturbation variables in the uniform-curvature gauge are gauge invariant with

$$\delta = \delta_\varphi, \quad v = v_\varphi, \quad \kappa = \kappa_\varphi, \quad \chi = \chi_\varphi, \quad \alpha = \alpha_\varphi. \tag{71}$$

In linear theory, the uniform-curvature gauge is useful to handle scalar field perturbation (Field & Shepley 1968; Lukash 1980a, 1980b; Sasaki 1986; Mukhanov 1988; Hwang 1994; Hwang & Noh 2005). To the linear order, with the scalar field $\widetilde{\phi}$ decomposed as $\widetilde{\phi} = \phi + \delta\phi$, we have $\delta\widehat{\phi} = \delta\phi - \phi'\xi^0$, thus

$$\delta\phi_\varphi \equiv \delta\phi - \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\varphi \equiv -\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\varphi_{\delta\phi}, \tag{72}$$

is gauge invariant. For fully nonlinear treatment of the scalar field perturbation in the uniform-field gauge ($\delta\phi \equiv 0$ to the nonlinear order), see Section 9.

6.3. *Uniform-expansion gauge*

In the uniform-expansion gauge we set

$$\kappa \equiv 0. \tag{73}$$

We have $\widetilde{\theta}^{(n)} = -K = 3H$, thus the expansion rate of the normal frame vector field is uniform in space. Equations (21)-(27) give a complete set of equations for variables δ, v, χ, φ and α. All perturbation variables in the uniform-expansion gauge are gauge invariant with

$$\delta = \delta_\kappa, \quad v = v_\kappa, \quad \varphi = \varphi_\kappa, \quad \chi = \chi_\kappa, \quad \alpha = \alpha_\kappa. \tag{74}$$

As in the zero-shear gauge, the uniform-curvature gauge also shows small-scale Newtonian correspondence of δ, v and α up to the second order in perturbation (Hwang et al. 2012).

6.4. *Uniform-density gauge*

In the uniform-density gauge we set

$$\delta \equiv 0, \tag{75}$$

thus the density is uniform in the hypersurface. Equations (21)-(27) give a complete set of equations for variables v, κ, χ, φ and α. All perturbation variables in the uniform-density gauge are gauge invariant with

$$v = v_\delta, \quad \kappa = \kappa_\delta, \quad \varphi = \varphi_\delta, \quad \chi = \chi_\delta, \quad \alpha = \alpha_\delta. \tag{76}$$

Curvature perturbation φ in the comoving gauge (φ_v), in the uniform expansion gauge (φ_κ) and in the uniform-density guage (φ_δ) are known to be conserved in the large scale (super-sound-horizon scale) to the second order in perturbations (Hwang & Noh 2007), and to general nonlinear order based on the spatial gradient expansion method (Lyth et al. 2005). The proof based on our exact and fully nonlinear perturbation equations deserves a close examination.

7. GENERATION OF VORTICITY IN THE COMOVING GAUGE

We consider the generation of vorticity (rotation or vector-type perturbation) from the pure scalar-type perturbation. We consider the comoving gauge. Thus, we have $v_i = 0$ in the v_i terms multiplied by perturbation terms, and have $v_i = v_i^{(v)}$ in the pure v_i term without perturbations multiplied. From Equation (27) we have

$$\frac{1}{a^3} \left[a^4 \left(\mu + p \right) v_i^{(v)} \right]^{\cdot} = - \widetilde{p}_{,i} - \left(\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p} \right) \frac{\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{\mathcal{N}}. \tag{77}$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{a^4} \left[a^4 \left(\mu + p \right) v_{[i,j]}^{(v)} \right]^{\cdot} = - \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_{,[i} \widetilde{p}_{,j]}}{a(\mu + p)}, \tag{78}$$

which is true to the fully nonlinear order.

In terms of the covariant equations, from Equation (8) in Hawking (1966) we have

$$\widetilde{h}_a^c \widetilde{h}_b^d \left(\dot{\widetilde{\widetilde{\omega}}}_{cd} - \widetilde{a}_{[c;d]} \right) = - \frac{2}{3} \widetilde{\theta} \widetilde{\omega}_{ab} + 2 \widetilde{\sigma}^c{}_{[a} \widetilde{\omega}_{b]c}, \tag{79}$$

where $\widetilde{h}_{ab} \equiv \widetilde{g}_{ab} + \widetilde{u}_a \widetilde{u}_b$ is the projection tensor; for the covariant notations see the Appendix C. For the vorticity generation from pure scalar-type perturbation in the comoving gauge, from Equation (117) we have $\widetilde{\omega}_{ij} = a v_{[i,j]}^{(v)}$, and from Equations (79), (121) and (122) we arrive at Equation (78). As commented below Equation (119), to the nonlinear order the vector-type perturbation is no longer gauge-invariant and the above conclusions are valid only in the comoving gauge. This issue deserves further investigation.

8. GENERATION OF GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM SCALAR- AND VECTOR-TYPE PERTURBATIONS

Fully nonlinear and exact formulation including the tensor-type perturbation is supposed to be a complicated subject which is left for future investigation. Here we consider a much simpler case with linear tensor-type perturbation. In the presence of the tensor-type perturbation a change occurs in the spatial part of the metric in Equation (103) as

$$\widetilde{g}_{ij} = a^2 \left[\left(1 + 2\varphi \right) \delta_{ij} + 2 h_{ij} \right], \tag{80}$$

where h_{ij} is the transverse ($h^j_{i|j} \equiv 0$) and tracefree ($h^i_i \equiv 0$) tensor-type perturbation; indices of h_{ij} are based on γ_{ij} as the metric; only in this section h_{ij} indicates the tensor-type perturbation. By keeping only linear order terms in h_{ij} we can update quantities in the Appendix B. In our basic perturbation equations in (21)-(27), the linear tensor-type perturbation contributes *only* in Equation (25) by simply adding the following term

$$\ddot{h}^i_j + 3H \dot{h}^i_j - \frac{\Delta}{a^2} h^i_j, \tag{81}$$

in the left-hand-side. We ignore the tensor-type anisotropic stress. Let us write Equation (25) including the linear tensor-type perturbation as

$$\ddot{h}_{ij} + 3H \dot{h}_{ij} - \frac{\Delta}{a^2} h_{ij} \equiv n_{ij}, \tag{82}$$

where we moved all the terms in Equation (25) to the right-hand-side and called it n^i_j, then lowered the index. The right-hand-side of this equation includes linear parts of the scalar- and vector-type perturbations which need to be removed to get the pure tensor-type perturbation equation generated by the nonlinear scalar- and vector-type perturbations. By the following operation we can separate the linear part of the scalar- and vector-type contributions [see Equation (210) in Noh & Hwang (2004)]

$$\ddot{h}_{ij} + 3H \dot{h}_{ij} - \frac{\Delta}{a^2} h_{ij} = s_{ij}, \tag{83}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ij} \equiv\; & n_{ij} - 2\Delta^{-1} \nabla_{(i} n^k_{j),k} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{-2} \left(\nabla_i \nabla_j + \delta_{ij} \Delta \right) n^{kl}{}_{|kl}. \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

This can be regarded as the equation for gravitational waves (tensor-type perturbation) generated from pure scalar- and vector-type perturbations to the fully nonlinear order. The nonlinear terms in s_{ij} still depend on the temporal gauge condition, and consequently the gravitational waves generated from the scalar- and vector-type perturbations do depend on the temporal gauge choice. In the perturbation approach h_{ij} should be regarded as the same order perturbation as the one considered in s_{ij}; i.e., with an expansion $h_{ij} = h^{(1)}_{ij} + h^{(2)}_{ij} + \dots$, where index (1) and (2) indicating the order of perturbation, for s_{ij} quadratic order perturbations h_{ij} is the same as $h^{(2)}_{ij}$, etc., thus depending on the gauge choice. This subject deserves further studies.

9. SCALAR FIELD IN THE COMOVING GAUGE

We consider a minimally coupled scalar field $\widetilde{\phi}$ with

$$\widetilde{T}_{ab} = \widetilde{\phi}_{,a} \widetilde{\phi}_{,b} - \left[\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\phi}^{,c} \widetilde{\phi}_{,c} + \widetilde{V}(\widetilde{\phi}) \right] \widetilde{g}_{ab}, \tag{85}$$

and the equation of motion

$$\widetilde{\phi}^{;c}{}_c = \widetilde{V}_{,\widetilde{\phi}}. \tag{86}$$

Compared with Equation (2) the fluid quantities can be read as

$$\widetilde{\mu} = \widetilde{T}_{ab}\widetilde{u}^a\widetilde{u}^b, \quad \widetilde{p} = \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{T}_{ab}\widetilde{h}^{ab},$$
$$\widetilde{\pi}_{ab} = \widetilde{T}_{cd}\widetilde{h}^c_a\widetilde{h}^d_b - \widetilde{p}\widetilde{h}_{ab}. \tag{87}$$

The fluid quantities and the equation of motion to the fully nonlinear order can be derived in a gauge-ready form. Here, we only consider a fluid formulation of the scalar field in the comoving gauge. From Equations (2) and (85) we have

$$\widetilde{u}_i = -\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}}\widetilde{T}_{ib}\widetilde{u}^b = -\frac{\widetilde{\phi}_{,i}}{\widetilde{\phi}_{,c}\widetilde{u}^c}. \tag{88}$$

Thus, the comoving gauge ($v \equiv 0$) implies the uniform-field gauge ($\delta\phi \equiv 0$) and *vice versa* to the fully nonlinear order; we set $\widetilde{\phi} = \phi + \delta\phi$ where ϕ is the background order scalar field. In this gauge we can show

$$\widetilde{\mu} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}^2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V, \quad \widetilde{p} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}^2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V, \quad \widetilde{\pi}_{ab} = 0. \tag{89}$$

Thus, to the background order, we have

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V, \quad p = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V, \tag{90}$$

and to the fully nonlinear order, we have

$$\delta p = \delta\mu = -\frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}^2}\dot{\phi}^2\left(2\alpha + \frac{\chi^k\chi_k}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\right), \tag{91}$$

with vanishing anisotropic stress. Therefore, the ideal fluid equations in Equations (21)-(27) under the comoving gauge remain valid with the perturbed equation of state given as $\delta p = \delta\mu$.

10. DISCUSSION

Extension or feasibility of similar fully nonlinear formulation including the following cases deserves future investigations: (i) background spatial curvature, (ii) anisotropic stress, (iii) a minimally coupled scalar field, (iv) multiple components of fluids and fields, (v) class of generalized gravity theories, (vi) the electric and magnetic fields, (vii) the covariant equations and the Weyl tensors, (viii) the tensor-type perturbation, (ix) null geodesic for Sachs-Wolfe effect, (x) gravitational lensing, (xi) Boltzmann equations for photons, and massless and massive neutrinos, (xii) gauge transformation properties, (xiii) expression of gauge-invariant combinations, (xiv) equations in mixed gauge conditions, etc. At the moment the first seven are trivial (some could be tedious though) extensions while the remaining ones need closer examinations for their feasibilities. Implementations of all the above cases (except for x) were made to the second order in perturbations in Noh & Hwang (2004), Hwang & Noh (2007), and Hwang et al (2012).

We anticipate potentially wide applications of our exact and fully nonlinear perturbation formulation, not only in higher order perturbation theory, but also in the averaging, fitting and back-reaction approaches in theoretical cosmology (Ellis 1984; Ellis & Stoeger 1987; Clarkson et al 2011).

We wish to thank Professor Hee-Won Lee for hospitality during J.H.'s sabbatical visit Sejong University. H.N. was supported by grants No. C00022 from the Korea Research Foundation (KRF) and No. 2009-0078118 from KOSEF funded by the Korean Government (MEST). J.H. was supported by KRF Grant funded by the Korean Government (KRF-2008-341-C00022).

APPENDIX A. ADM $(3+1)$ EQUATIONS REVIEW

The ADM (Arnowitt-Deser-Misner) formulation (Arnowitt et al. 1962) is based on splitting the spacetime into the spatial and the temporal parts using a normal four-vector field $\widetilde{n}_a$. The metric is written as

$$\widetilde{g}_{00} \equiv -N^2 + N^iN_i, \quad \widetilde{g}_{0i} \equiv N_i, \quad \widetilde{g}_{ij} \equiv h_{ij}, \quad \widetilde{g}^{00} = -N^{-2}, \quad \widetilde{g}^{0i} = N^{-2}N^i, \quad \widetilde{g}^{ij} = h^{ij} - N^{-2}N^iN^j, \tag{92}$$

where N_i is based on h_{ij} as the metric, and h^{ij} is an inverse metric of h_{ij}; for meanings of the ADM variables, see Smarr & York (1978). The normal four-vector $\widetilde{n}_a$ is introduced as

$$\widetilde{n}_0 = -N, \quad \widetilde{n}_i \equiv 0, \quad \widetilde{n}^0 = N^{-1}, \quad \widetilde{n}^i = -N^{-1}N^i. \tag{93}$$

The fluid quantities are defined as

$$E \equiv \widetilde{n}_a\widetilde{n}_b\widetilde{T}^{ab}, \quad J_i \equiv -\widetilde{n}_b\widetilde{T}^b_i, \quad S_{ij} \equiv \widetilde{T}_{ij}, \quad S \equiv h^{ij}S_{ij}, \quad \overline{S}_{ij} \equiv S_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}S, \tag{94}$$

where J_i and S_{ij} are based on h_{ij}. The extrinsic curvature is introduced as

$$K_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2N}\left(N_{i:j} + N_{j:i} - h_{ij,0}\right), \quad K \equiv h^{ij}K_{ij}, \quad \overline{K}_{ij} \equiv K_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}K, \tag{95}$$

where K_{ij} is based on h_{ij}. A colon ':' denotes a covariant derivative based on h_{ij}. $\Gamma^{(h)i}{}_{jk}$ is the connection based on h_{ij} as the metric, $\Gamma^{(h)i}{}_{jk} \equiv \frac{1}{2}h^{i\ell}\left(h_{j\ell,k} + h_{k\ell,j} - h_{jk,\ell}\right)$. The intrinsic curvatures are based on h_{ij} as the metric

$$R^{(h)i}{}_{jk\ell} \equiv \Gamma^{(h)i}{}_{j\ell,k} - \Gamma^{(h)i}{}_{jk,\ell} + \Gamma^{(h)m}{}_{j\ell}\Gamma^{(h)i}{}_{km} - \Gamma^{(h)m}{}_{jk}\Gamma^{(h)i}{}_{\ell m},$$
$$R^{(h)}_{ij} \equiv R^{(h)k}{}_{ikj}, \quad R^{(h)} \equiv h^{ij}R^{(h)}_{ij}, \quad \overline{R}^{(h)}_{ij} \equiv R^{(h)}_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}R^{(h)}. \tag{96}$$

A complete set of the ADM equations is the following (Bardeen 1980; Noh & Hwang 2004)

$$R^{(h)} = \overline{K}^{ij}\overline{K}_{ij} - \frac{2}{3}K^2 + 16\pi G E + 2\Lambda, \tag{97}$$

$$\overline{K}^j_{i:j} - \frac{2}{3}K_{,i} = 8\pi G J_i, \tag{98}$$

$$K_{,0}N^{-1} - K_{,i}N^i N^{-1} + N^{:i}{}_i N^{-1} - \overline{K}^{ij}\overline{K}_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}K^2 - 4\pi G\left(E + S\right) + \Lambda = 0, \tag{99}$$

$$\overline{K}^i_{j,0}N^{-1} - \overline{K}^i_{j:k}N^k N^{-1} + \overline{K}^k_j N^i{}_{:k}N^{-1} - \overline{K}^i_k N^k{}_{:j}N^{-1} = K\overline{K}^i_j - \left(N^{:i}{}_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j N^{:k}{}_k\right)N^{-1} + \overline{R}^{(h)i}{}_j - 8\pi G\overline{S}^i_j, \tag{100}$$

$$E_{,0}N^{-1} - E_{,i}N^i N^{-1} - K\left(E + \frac{1}{3}S\right) - \overline{S}^{ij}\overline{K}_{ij} + N^{-2}\left(N^2 J^i\right)_{:i} = 0, \tag{101}$$

$$J_{i,0}N^{-1} - J_{i:j}N^j N^{-1} - J_j N^j{}_{:i}N^{-1} - KJ_i + EN_{,i}N^{-1} + S^j_{i:j} + S^j_i N_{,j}N^{-1} = 0. \tag{102}$$

These are the ADM energy constraint, the ADM momentum constraint, trace of ADM propagation, tracefree ADM propagation, the ADM energy conservation, and the ADM momentum conservation equations, respectively. Equations (97)-(102) together with definition of K in equation (95) provide the fundamental perturbation equations presented in Equations (21)-(27). In the Appendix B we present details of steps useful for the derivation.

APPENDIX B. DERIVATION OF FULLY NONLINEAR PERTURBATIONS

Our metric convention is

$$\widetilde{g}_{00} = -a^2\left(1 + 2\alpha\right), \quad \widetilde{g}_{0i} = -a\chi_i, \quad \widetilde{g}_{ij} = a^2\left(1 + 2\varphi\right)\delta_{ij}, \tag{103}$$

where χ_i is based on δ_{ij} as the metric. We *assume* a is a function of conformal time ($x^0 = \eta$) only, whereas α, χ_i and φ are general functions of space and time, but we do *not* assume these to be small in amplitudes. Justification of our metric convention is made in Section 2. The inverse metric is

$$\widetilde{g}^{00} = -\frac{1}{a^2}\frac{1 + 2\varphi}{(1 + 2\varphi)(1 + 2\alpha) + \chi^k\chi_k/a^2}, \quad \widetilde{g}^{0i} = -\frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\chi^i/a}{(1 + 2\varphi)(1 + 2\alpha) + \chi^k\chi_k/a^2},$$
$$\widetilde{g}^{ij} = \frac{1}{a^2(1 + 2\varphi)}\left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{\chi^i\chi^j/a^2}{(1 + 2\varphi)(1 + 2\alpha) + \chi^k\chi_k/a^2}\right). \tag{104}$$

From Equation (92) the ADM metric can be identified as

$$N = a\sqrt{1 + 2\alpha + \frac{\chi^k\chi_k}{a^2(1 + 2\varphi)}} \equiv a\mathcal{N}, \quad N_i = -a\chi_i, \quad N^i = -\frac{\chi^i}{a(1 + 2\varphi)},$$
$$h_{ij} = a^2\left(1 + 2\varphi\right)\delta_{ij}, \quad h^{ij} = \frac{1}{a^2(1 + 2\varphi)}\delta^{ij}, \tag{105}$$

thus

$$\widetilde{g}^{00} = -\frac{1}{a^2\mathcal{N}^2}, \quad \widetilde{g}^{0i} = -\frac{\chi^i}{a^3\mathcal{N}^2(1 + 2\varphi)}, \quad \widetilde{g}^{ij} = \frac{1}{a^2(1 + 2\varphi)}\left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{\chi^i\chi^j}{a^2\mathcal{N}^2(1 + 2\varphi)}\right), \tag{106}$$

and

$$\widetilde{n}_i \equiv 0, \quad \widetilde{n}_0 = -a\mathcal{N}, \quad \widetilde{n}^i = \frac{\chi^i}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1 + 2\varphi)}, \quad \widetilde{n}^0 = \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}. \tag{107}$$

The three-space connection and curvatures are

$$\Gamma^{(h)i}{}_{jk} = \frac{1}{1 + 2\varphi}\left(\varphi_{,j}\delta^i_k + \varphi_{,k}\delta^i_j - \varphi^{,i}\delta_{jk}\right), \quad \Gamma^{(h)k}{}_{ik} = \frac{3\varphi_{,i}}{1 + 2\varphi},$$
$$R^{(h)}_{ij} = -\frac{\varphi_{,ij}}{1 + 2\varphi} + 3\frac{\varphi_{,i}\varphi_{,j}}{(1 + 2\varphi)^2} - \left(\frac{\Delta\varphi}{1 + 2\varphi} - \frac{\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}}{(1 + 2\varphi)^2}\right)\delta_{ij}, \quad R^{(h)} = \frac{2}{a^2(1 + 2\varphi)^2}\left(-2\Delta\varphi + 3\frac{\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}}{1 + 2\varphi}\right),$$
$$\overline{R}^{(h)i}{}_j = \frac{1}{a^2(1 + 2\varphi)^2}\left[-\varphi^{,i}{}_j + 3\frac{\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,j}}{1 + 2\varphi} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\left(-\Delta\varphi + 3\frac{\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}}{1 + 2\varphi}\right)\right]. \tag{108}$$

The extrinsic curvature gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& K_{ij} = -\frac{a^2}{\mathcal{N}} \left[\left(H + \dot\varphi + 2H\varphi \right) \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2a^2} \left(\chi_{i,j} + \chi_{j,i} \right) - \frac{1}{a^2 (1+2\varphi)} \left(\chi_i \varphi_{,j} + \chi_j \varphi_{,i} - \chi^k \varphi_{,k} \delta_{ij} \right) \right], \\
& K = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)} \left[3 \left(H + \dot\varphi + 2H\varphi \right) + \frac{1}{a^2} \chi^k{}_{,k} + \frac{\chi^k \varphi_{,k}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)} \right] \equiv -3H + \kappa, \\
& \overline{K}^i_j = -\frac{1}{a^2 \mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\chi^i{}_{,j} + \chi_j{}^{,i} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \delta^i_j \chi^k{}_{,k} - \frac{1}{1+2\varphi} \left(\chi^i \varphi_{,j} + \chi_j \varphi^{,i} - \frac{2}{3} \delta^i_j \chi^k \varphi_{,k} \right) \right], \\
& \overline{K}^i_j \overline{K}^j_i = \frac{1}{a^4 \mathcal{N}^2 (1+2\varphi)^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \chi^{i,j} \left(\chi_{i,j} + \chi_{j,i} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \chi^i{}_{,i} \chi^j{}_{,j} - \frac{4}{1+2\varphi} \left[\frac{1}{2} \chi^i \varphi^{,j} \left(\chi_{i,j} + \chi_{j,i} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \chi^i{}_{,i} \chi^j \varphi_{,j} \right] \right. \\
& \qquad \left. + \frac{2}{(1+2\varphi)^2} \left(\chi^i \chi_i \varphi^{,j} \varphi_{,j} + \frac{1}{3} \chi^i \chi^j \varphi_{,i} \varphi_{,j} \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

The fluid four-vector is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{u}_i \equiv a v_i, \quad \widetilde{u}_0 = -a\mathcal{N} \sqrt{1 + \frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}} - \frac{\chi^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}, \\
& \widetilde{u}^i = \frac{v^i}{a(1+2\varphi)} + \frac{\chi^i}{a^2 \mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)} \sqrt{1 + \frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}, \quad \widetilde{u}^0 = \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}},
\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

where v_i is based on δ_{ij} as the metric. For $v_i = 0$ we have $\widetilde{u}_a = \widetilde{n}_a$. The energy-momentum tensor of an ideal fluid is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{T}^0_0 = -\widetilde{\mu} - \frac{\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}}{1+2\varphi} \left(v^i v_i + \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}} \chi^i v_i \sqrt{1 + \frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}} \right), \quad \widetilde{T}^0_i = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \left(\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p} \right) v_i \sqrt{1 + \frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}, \\
& \widetilde{T}_{ij} = a^2 \left[(1+2\varphi) \widetilde{p} \delta_{ij} + \left(\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p} \right) v_i v_j \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

The ADM fluid quantities become

$$\begin{aligned}
& E = \widetilde{\mu} + \left(\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p} \right) \frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}, \quad J_i = a \left(\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p} \right) v_i \sqrt{1 + \frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}, \quad J^i = \frac{\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}}{a(1+2\varphi)} v^i \sqrt{1 + \frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}, \\
& S^i_j = \widetilde{p} \delta^i_j + \left(\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p} \right) \frac{v^i v_j}{1+2\varphi}, \quad S = 3\widetilde{p} + \frac{\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}}{1+2\varphi} v^k v_k, \quad \overline{S}^i_j = \frac{\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}}{1+2\varphi} \left(v^i v_j - \frac{1}{3} \delta^i_j v^k v_k \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

Notice that for $v_i = 0$ (ignoring the vector-type perturbation $v^{(v)}_i = 0$, and taking the comoving gauge $v \equiv 0$) the energy-momentum tensor and the ADM fluid quantities are simplified a lot with

$$\widetilde{T}^0_0 = -\widetilde{\mu}, \quad \widetilde{T}^0_i = 0, \quad \widetilde{T}_{ij} = a^2 (1+2\varphi) \widetilde{p} \delta_{ij}, \quad E = \widetilde{\mu}, \quad J_i = 0, \quad S = 3\widetilde{p}, \quad \overline{S}^i_j = 0. \tag{113}$$

Using the above quantities, from K in Equations (95) and (97)-(102) we can derive Equations (21)-(27), respectively.

APPENDIX C. COVARIANT FORMULATION

Here we present the covariant kinematic quantities based on the normalized fluid four-vector $\widetilde{u}_a$. We have (Ehlers 1993; Ellis 1971, 1973)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{h}^c_a \widetilde{h}^d_b \widetilde{u}_{c;d} = \widetilde{h}^c_{[a} \widetilde{h}^d_{b]} \widetilde{u}_{c;d} + \widetilde{h}^c_{(a} \widetilde{h}^d_{b)} \widetilde{u}_{c;d} \equiv \widetilde{\omega}_{ab} + \widetilde{\theta}_{ab} = \widetilde{u}_{a;b} + \widetilde{a}_a \tilde{u}_b, \\
& \widetilde{\sigma}_{ab} \equiv \widetilde{\theta}_{ab} - \frac{1}{3} \widetilde{\theta} \widetilde{h}_{ab}, \quad \widetilde{\theta} \equiv \widetilde{u}^a{}_{;a}, \quad \widetilde{a}_a \equiv \widetilde{\dot{u}}_a \equiv \widetilde{u}_{a;b} \widetilde{u}^b,
\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

where $\widetilde{h}_{ab} \equiv \widetilde{g}_{ab} + \widetilde{u}_a \widetilde{u}_b$ is the projection tensor with $\widetilde{h}_{ab} \widetilde{u}^b = 0$ and $\widetilde{h}^a_a = 3$; $t_{(ab)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(t_{ab} + t_{ba})$ and $t_{[ab]} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(t_{ab} - t_{ba})$; we have $\widetilde{a}_c \widetilde{u}^c = 0$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}_{ab} \widetilde{u}^b = 0 = \widetilde{\omega}_{ab} \widetilde{u}^b$. An overdot with tilde $\widetilde{\dot{\ }}$ indicates a covariant derivative along $\widetilde{u}^a$. The quantities $\widetilde{\theta}$, $\widetilde{a}_c$, $\widetilde{\sigma}_{ij}$, and $\widetilde{\omega}_{ij}$ are the expansion scalar, the acceleration vector, the shear tensor, and the rotation (vorticity) tensor, respectively, of the $\widetilde{u}_a$ flow.

Using Equations (92), (105), (108) and (110) we can show [the spacetime connection $\widetilde{\Gamma}^a_{bc}$ in terms of the ADM notations is presented in Equation (6) of Noh & Hwang (2004)]

$$\widetilde{\theta} = (3H-\kappa)\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}} + \frac{(\mathcal{N}v^i)_{,i}}{a\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)} + \frac{v^i\varphi_{,i}}{a(1+2\varphi)^2} + \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}\left(\partial_0 + \frac{\chi^i}{a(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_i\right)\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}, \tag{115}$$

$$\widetilde{a}_i = \left(1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}\right)\frac{\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{\mathcal{N}} + \frac{v_{i,k}v^k}{1+2\varphi} - \frac{v^k v_k \varphi_{,i}}{(1+2\varphi)^2} + \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}\left[(av_i)_{,0} + \frac{v_{i,k}\chi^k}{1+2\varphi} + v_k\left(\frac{\chi^k}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,i}\right], \tag{116}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\omega}_{ij} = {}& av_{[i,j]} - av_{[i}\Bigg\{\left(1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}\right)\frac{\mathcal{N}_{,j]}}{\mathcal{N}} + \frac{v_{j],k}v^k}{1+2\varphi} - \frac{v^k v_k\varphi_{,j]}}{(1+2\varphi)^2} \\ & + \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{1+\frac{v^\ell v_\ell}{1+2\varphi}}\left[av_{j],0} + \frac{v_{j],k}\chi^k}{1+2\varphi} + v_k\left(\frac{\chi^k}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,j]}\right]\Bigg\},\end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\sigma}_{ij} = {}& -\overline{K}_{ij}\sqrt{1+\frac{v^\ell v_\ell}{1+2\varphi}} + av_{(i,j)} - \frac{a}{1+2\varphi}\left(v_i\varphi_{,j} + v_j\varphi_{,i} - \frac{2}{3}v^k\varphi_{,k}\delta_{ij}\right) + av_{(i}\Bigg\{\left(1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}\right)\frac{\mathcal{N}_{,j)}}{\mathcal{N}} \\ & + \frac{v_{j),k}v^k}{1+2\varphi} - \frac{v^k v_k\varphi_{,j)}}{(1+2\varphi)^2} + \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{1+\frac{v^\ell v_\ell}{1+2\varphi}}\left[\left(av_{j)}\right)_{,0} + \frac{v_{j),k}\chi^k}{1+2\varphi} + v_k\left(\frac{\chi^k}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,j)}\right]\Bigg\} \\ & - \frac{1}{3}a^2 v_i v_j\left[\frac{v^k\varphi_{,k}}{a(1+2\varphi)^2} + (3H-\kappa)\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}\right] \\ & - \frac{1}{3}\frac{a}{\mathcal{N}}\left[(1+2\varphi)\,\delta_{ij} + v_i v_j\right]\left[\frac{\left(\mathcal{N}v^k\right)_{,k}}{1+2\varphi} + \left(\partial_0 + \frac{\chi^k}{a(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_k\right)\sqrt{1+\frac{v^\ell v_\ell}{1+2\varphi}}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

where $\partial_0 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}$. Taking a normal frame with $\widetilde{u}_a = \widetilde{n}_a$, we have $v_i = 0$, thus

$$\widetilde{\theta}^{(n)} = -K = 3H - \kappa, \quad \widetilde{a}_i^{(n)} = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \widetilde{\omega}_{ij}^{(n)} = 0, \quad \widetilde{\sigma}_{ij}^{(n)} = -\overline{K}_{ij}. \tag{119}$$

The same is valid for an irrotational fluid in the comoving gauge so that we have $v_i = 0$. Notice that even for vanishing vector-type perturbation with $v_i^{(v)} \equiv 0 \equiv \Psi_i^{(v)}$, we have $\widetilde{\omega}_{ij} \neq 0$ to nonlinear order in many other gauge conditions; in the comoving gauge we have $v_i = 0$, thus $\widetilde{\omega}_{ij} = 0$, see Section 7.

Part of the covariant equations are (Ehlers 1961; Hawking 1966; Ellis 1971, 1973)

$$\widetilde{\dot{\widetilde{\theta}}} + \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{\theta}^2 - \widetilde{a}^a{}_{;a} + \widetilde{\sigma}^{ab}\widetilde{\sigma}_{ab} - \widetilde{\omega}^{ab}\widetilde{\omega}_{ab} + 4\pi G\left(\widetilde{\mu} + 3\widetilde{p}\right) - \Lambda = 0, \tag{120}$$

$$\widetilde{\dot{\widetilde{\mu}}} + \left(\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}\right)\widetilde{\theta} = 0, \tag{121}$$

$$\widetilde{a}_a = -\frac{\widetilde{h}_a^b\widetilde{p}_{,b}}{\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}}. \tag{122}$$

These are the Raychaudhury equation, and the covariant energy and the covariant momentum conservation equations, respectively; the latter two equations are presented in the energy-frame with vanishing energy flux $\widetilde{q}_a \equiv 0$ (Ellis 1971, 1973; Hwang & Vishniac 1990); the fluid four vector $\widetilde{u}_a$ in the energy frame ($\widetilde{q}_a \equiv 0$) coincides with the normal frame four-vector $\widetilde{n}_a$ for $v_i = 0$ (the irrotational and the comoving gauge). By combining Equations (120)-(122) we have (Jackson 1972, 1993; Hwang & Vishniac 1990)

$$\frac{\widetilde{\ddot{\widetilde{\mu}}}}{\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}} = 4\pi G\left(\widetilde{\mu} + 3\widetilde{p}\right) - \Lambda + \frac{4}{3}\widetilde{\theta}^2 + \widetilde{\sigma}^{ab}\widetilde{\sigma}_{ab} - \widetilde{\omega}^{ab}\widetilde{\omega}_{ab} - \widetilde{a}^c\widetilde{a}_c + \widetilde{h}^{ab}\left(\frac{\widetilde{p}_{,a}}{\widetilde{\mu} + \widetilde{p}}\right)_{;b}. \tag{123}$$

Using the covariant kinematic quantities based on $\widetilde{u}_a$ presented above we can derive nonlinear perturbation equations in gauge-ready forms. Equation (120) leads to a complicated combination; in the normal frame it gives Equation (24) for $v_i = 0$. Equations (121) and (122) give

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\chi^i}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_i\right)\widetilde{\mu}+\left(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}\right)(3H-\kappa)\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}} = -\frac{1}{a(1+2\varphi)}\widetilde{\mu}_{,i}v^i$$
$$-\left(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}\right)\left[\frac{(\mathcal{N}v^i)_{,i}}{a\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}+\frac{v^i\varphi_{,i}}{a(1+2\varphi)^2}+\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\chi^i}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_i\right)\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}\right], \tag{124}$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\chi^j}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_j\right)av_i+\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}}\left[\widetilde{p}_{,i}+v_i\frac{a}{\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\chi^j}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_j\right)\widetilde{p}\right]$$
$$+\left(1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}\right)\frac{\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{\mathcal{N}} = -\frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}v^j\left(\frac{\chi_j}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,i}-\frac{v_{i,k}v^k}{1+2\varphi}+\frac{v^k v_k\varphi_{,i}}{(1+2\varphi)^2}-\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v_i v^k\widetilde{p}_{,k}}{\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}}. \tag{125}$$

These are alternative forms of Equations (26) and (27), respectively. The above two equations follow from the covariant energy and momentum conservation equations based on projecting along $\widetilde{u}_a$ and $\widetilde{h}_{ab}$ as

$$0=\widetilde{T}^b_{a;b}\widetilde{u}^a=-\dot{\widetilde{\widetilde{\mu}}}-\left(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}\right)\widetilde{\theta},\quad 0=\widetilde{T}^b_{c;b}\widetilde{h}^c_a=\left(\widetilde{\mu}+\widetilde{p}\right)\widetilde{a}_a+\widetilde{h}^b_a\widetilde{p}_{,b}. \tag{126}$$

Whereas the ADM energy and momentum conservation in Equations (26) and (27) are based on projecting along $\widetilde{n}_a$ and $\widetilde{h}^{(n)}_{ab}\equiv\widetilde{g}_{ab}+\widetilde{n}_a\widetilde{n}_b$. We have the following relations

$$0=\widetilde{T}^b_{a;b}\widetilde{n}^a=\frac{1}{N}\widetilde{T}^b_{0;b}-\frac{N^i}{N}\widetilde{T}^b_{i;b}=-\frac{1}{N}\left(\widetilde{u}_0-N^i\widetilde{u}_i\right)\widetilde{T}^b_{a;b}\widetilde{u}^a-\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\widetilde{u}^i}{\widetilde{u}^0}+N^i\right)\widetilde{T}^b_{a;b}\widetilde{h}^a_i,$$
$$0=\widetilde{T}^b_{a;b}\widetilde{h}^{(n)a}{}_i=\widetilde{T}^b_{i;b}=\widetilde{T}^b_{a;b}\widetilde{h}^a_i-\widetilde{u}_i\widetilde{T}^b_{a;b}\widetilde{u}^a. \tag{127}$$

These lead to Equations (101) and (102).

A covariant spatial gradient variable

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_a\equiv\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}}\widetilde{h}^b_a\widetilde{\mu}_{,b}, \tag{128}$$

was introduced as a covariant and gauge-invariant variable in the linear perturbation theory (Ellis & Bruni 1989; Woszczyna & Kułak 1989). To the fully nonlinear order, we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_i=\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}}\left[\widetilde{\mu}_{,i}+v_i\frac{a}{\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{1+\frac{v^k v_k}{1+2\varphi}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\chi^j}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_j\right)\widetilde{\mu}+\frac{v_i}{1+2\varphi}\widetilde{\mu}_{,j}v^j\right]. \tag{129}$$

Using the gauge transformation properties to the second order in Hwang et al (2012) we can show that $\widetilde{\Delta}_i$ is gauge-invariant *only* to the linear order. To the linear order, we have $\widetilde{\Delta}_i=\delta_{v,i}+v^{(v)}_i$ which is a sum of the spatial gradient of δ in the comoving gauge and the vector-type perturbation. In the comoving gauge without vector-type perturbation (thus $v_i=0$) we have $\widetilde{\Delta}_{iv}=\delta_{v,i}/(1+\delta_v)$ which is related to the density perturbation in the comoving gauge.

APPENDIX D. MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OF IDEAL FLUID

Here we consider the case of multiple components of ideal fluid. Even in the presence of many fluids (with vanishing fluxes and anisotropic stresses) all our equations are valid with the fluid quantities considered as the collective ones. In the presence of N fluids we have

$$\widetilde{T}_{ab}=\sum_J\widetilde{T}_{(J)ab}, \tag{130}$$

with the fluid quantities of collective and individual components introduced as

$$\widetilde{T}_{ab}\equiv\widetilde{\mu}\widetilde{u}_a\widetilde{u}_b+\widetilde{p}\left(\widetilde{g}_{ab}+\widetilde{u}_a\widetilde{u}_b\right),\quad \widetilde{T}_{(I)ab}\equiv\widetilde{\mu}_{(I)}\widetilde{u}_{(I)a}\widetilde{u}_{(I)b}+\widetilde{p}_{(I)}\left(\widetilde{g}_{ab}+\widetilde{u}_{(I)a}\widetilde{u}_{(I)b}\right), \tag{131}$$

where indices $I,J,\dots=1,2\dots N$ identify the fluid component. From Equation (130) we have

$$\widetilde{\mu}=\sum_J\left\{\widetilde{\mu}_{(J)}+\left(\widetilde{\mu}_{(J)}+\widetilde{p}_{(J)}\right)\left[\left(\widetilde{u}^c_{(J)}\widetilde{u}_c\right)^2-1\right]\right\},\quad \widetilde{p}=\sum_J\left\{\widetilde{p}_{(J)}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\widetilde{\mu}_{(J)}+\widetilde{p}_{(J)}\right)\left[\left(\widetilde{u}^c_{(J)}\widetilde{u}_c\right)^2-1\right]\right\}, \tag{132}$$
$$\widetilde{\mu}-3\widetilde{p}=\sum_J\left(\widetilde{\mu}_{(I)}-3\widetilde{p}_{(J)}\right),\quad \widetilde{u}_a=-\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}+\sum_K\widetilde{p}_{(K)}}\sum_J\left(\widetilde{\mu}_{(J)}+\widetilde{p}_{(J)}\right)\widetilde{u}^c_{(J)}\widetilde{u}_c\widetilde{u}_{(J)a}. \tag{133}$$

Now we introduce the normalized ($\widetilde{u}^c_{(I)}\widetilde{u}_{(I)c} \equiv -1$) fluid four-vector of individual component as

$$\widetilde{u}_{(I)i} \equiv a v_{(I)i}. \tag{134}$$

the rest of $\widetilde{u}_{(I)a}$ are the same as in Equation (110) with v_i replaced by $v_{(I)i}$. Similarly, for the energy-momentum tensor, the ADM fluid quantities, and the covariant kinematic quantities of the individual fluid component, we can replace $\widetilde{\mu}$, $\widetilde{p}$ and $\widetilde{v}_i$ to $\widetilde{\mu}_{(I)}$, $\widetilde{p}_{(I)}$ and $\widetilde{v}_{(I)i}$, respectively, in Equations (111)-(118) with $\widetilde{T}_{ab}$, E, $\widetilde{\theta}$, etc., replaced by $\widetilde{T}_{(I)ab}$, $E_{(I)}$, $\widetilde{\theta}_{(I)}$, etc., respectively. For the ADM fluid quantities we have

$$E = \sum_J E_{(J)}, \quad J_i = \sum_J J_{(J)i}, \quad S = \sum_J S_{(J)}, \quad \overline{S}^i_j = \sum_J \overline{S}_{(J)j}{}^{i}, \tag{135}$$

but these simple relations do not hold for the covariant kinematic quantities $\widetilde{\theta}$, $\widetilde{a}_i$, $\widetilde{\omega}_{ij}$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}_{ij}$. From Equation (110) for the collective component and for the I-component, we have

$$\widetilde{u}^c_{(I)}\widetilde{u}_c = \frac{v^k_{(I)}v_k}{1+2\varphi} - \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{v^k_{(I)}v_{(I)k}}{1+2\varphi}\right)\left(1 + \frac{v^\ell v_\ell}{1+2\varphi}\right)}. \tag{136}$$

Equation (133) gives

$$v_i = -\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu} + \sum_K \widetilde{p}_{(K)}} \sum_J \left(\widetilde{\mu}_{(J)} + \widetilde{p}_{(J)}\right) \widetilde{u}^c_{(J)}\widetilde{u}_c v_{(J)i}. \tag{137}$$

Equations (132) and (137) with Equation (136) provide relations between the collective and indivdual fluid quantities. Using these relations our fully nonlinear and exact perturbation equations remain valid even in the multiple component fluid case.

Now, we have to provide the equations followed by the individual fluid. The energy and the momentum conservation equations for individual component are the ones we need. The energy and momentum conservation equations follow from $\widetilde{T}^b_{a;b} = 0$, thus

$$\widetilde{T}_{(I)a;b}^{\;\;\;\;\;\;b} \equiv \widetilde{I}_{(I)a}, \quad \sum_J \widetilde{I}_{(J)a} = 0, \tag{138}$$

where $\widetilde{I}_{(I)a}$ is the interaction terms among fluids. In the ADM and the covariant formulations, the energy and the momentum conservation equations are presented in Equations (47) and (48) of Noh & Hwang (2004), and Equations (31) and (32) of Hwang & Noh (2007), respectively. In ideal fluids, these are

$$E_{(I),0}N^{-1} - E_{(I),i}N^iN^{-1} - K\left(E_{(I)} + \frac{1}{3}S_{(I)}\right) - \overline{S}^{ij}_{(I)}\overline{K}_{ij} + N^{-2}\left(N^2J^i_{(I)}\right)_{:i} = -\frac{1}{N}\left(\widetilde{I}_{(I)0} - \widetilde{I}_{(I)i}N^i\right), \tag{139}$$

$$J_{(I)i,0}N^{-1} - J_{(I)i:j}N^jN^{-1} - J_{(I)j}N^j{}_{:i}N^{-1} - KJ_{(I)i} + E_{(I)}N_{,i}N^{-1} + S_{(I)i:j}{}^{j} + S_{(I)i}{}^{j}N_{,j}N^{-1} = \widetilde{I}_{(I)i}. \tag{140}$$

$$\dot{\widetilde{\widetilde{\mu}}}_{(I)} + \left(\widetilde{\mu}_{(I)} + \widetilde{p}_{(I)}\right)\widetilde{\theta}_{(I)} = -\widetilde{u}^a_{(I)}\widetilde{I}_{(I)a}, \tag{141}$$

$$\widetilde{a}_{(I)a} + \frac{\widetilde{h}_{(I)a}{}^{b}\widetilde{p}_{(I),b}}{\widetilde{\mu}_{(I)} + \widetilde{p}_{(I)}} = \frac{\widetilde{h}_{(I)a}{}^{b}\widetilde{I}_{(I)b}}{\widetilde{\mu}_{(I)} + \widetilde{p}_{(I)}}. \tag{142}$$

Compare these with Equations (101), (102), (121), and (122), respectively, for collective ones. From Equations (139)-(142) we can derive the energy and the momentum conservation equations and the alternative forms. The results are the same as Equations (26), (27), (124) and (125) with all fluid quantities $\widetilde{\mu}$, $\widetilde{p}$ and v_i replaced by $\widetilde{\mu}_{(I)}$, $\widetilde{p}_{(I)}$ and $v_{(I)i}$, respectively, and add the following contributions from interactions among fluids to the right-hand-sides of the equations

$$-\frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}\left(\widetilde{I}_{(I)0} + \frac{\chi^i}{a(1+2\varphi)}I_{(I)i}\right), \tag{143}$$

$$+I_{(I)i}, \tag{144}$$

$$-\frac{1}{a(1+2\varphi)}v^i_{(I)}I_{(I)i} - \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{v^k_{(I)}v_{(I)k}}{1+2\varphi}}\left(\widetilde{I}_{(I)0} + \frac{\chi^i}{a(1+2\varphi)}I_{(I)i}\right), \tag{145}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}_{(I)} + \widetilde{p}_{(I)}}\left\{I_{(I)i} + v_{(I)i}\left[\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}v^j_{(I)}I_{(I)j} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{v^k_{(I)}v_{(I)k}}{1+2\varphi}}\left(\widetilde{I}_{(I)0} + \frac{\chi^j}{a(1+2\varphi)}I_{(I)j}\right)\right]\right\}, \tag{146}$$

respectively; we have introduced $I_{(I)i} \equiv \widetilde{I}_{(I)i}$ where the spatial index of $I_{(I)i}$ is based on γ_{ij}; $I_{(I)i}$ is the perturbed order quantity whereas $\widetilde{I}_{(I)0}$ can include background order quantity as $\widetilde{I}_{(I)0} = I_{(I)0} + \delta I_{(I)0}$. These equations together with Equations (132) and (137) complete the additional equations we need in the multiple fluid system.

The vector variables $v_{(I)i}$ and $I_{(I)i}$ can be decomposed to the scalar- and vector-type perturbations as

$$v_{(I)i} = -v_{(I),i} + v^{(v)}_{(I)i}, \quad I_{(I)i} = \delta I_{(I),i} + \delta I^{(v)}_{(I)i}, \tag{147}$$

with $v^{(v)i}_{(I)\ |i} \equiv 0 \equiv \delta I^{(v)i}_{(I)\ |i}$.

As in the single component case, to the linear order the scalar-type perturbation $\delta_{(I)}$, $\delta p_{(I)}$, $v_{(I)}$, $\delta I_{(I)0}$ and $\delta I_{(I)}$ depend on the temporal gauge transformation whereas the vector-type perturbations are gauge invariant (Hwang 1991). In addition to the fundamental gauge conditions in Equation (18), in the multi-component case, we have the following gauge conditions available

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{I-component-comoving\ gauge:} && v_{(I)} \equiv 0, \\ &\mathrm{uniform-I-component-density\ gauge:} && \delta_{(I)} \equiv 0, \end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

to the fully nonlinear order.

REFERENCES

Arnowitt, R., Deser, S., & Misner, C. W. 1962, Gravitation: an introduction to current research, edited by Witten, L. (Wiley, New York)
Bardeen, J. M. 1980, Phys. Rev. D, 22, 1882
Bardeen, J. M. 1988, Particle Physics and Cosmology, edited by Fang, L., & Zee, A. (Gordon and Breach, London)
Clarkson, C., Ellis, G., Larena, J., & Umeh, O. 2011, Rep. Prog. Phys., 74, 112901
Ehlers, J. 1961, Proceedings of the mathematical-natural science of the Mainz academy of science and literature, Nr. 11, 792; English translation in 1993, Gen. Rel. Grav., 25, 1225
Ellis, G. F. R. 1971, General relativity and cosmology, Proceedings of the international summer school of physics Enrico Fermi course 47, edited by Sachs, R. K. (Academic Press, New York)
Ellis, G. F. R. 1973, Cargese Lectures in Physics, edited by Schatzmann, E. (Gordon and Breach, New York)
Ellis, G. F. R. 1984, General Relativity and Gravitation, edited by Bertotti, B. et al. (Reidel, Dordrecht)
Ellis, G. F. R., & Bruni, M. 1989, Phys. Rev. D, 40, 1804
Ellis, G. F. R., & Stoeger, W. 1987, Class. Quant. Grav., 4, 1697
Field, G. B., & Shepley, L. C. 1968, Astrophys. Space Sci., 1, 309
Friedmann, A. A. 1922, Zeitschrift für Physik, 10, 377; English translation in 1999, Gen. Rel. Grav., 31, 1991
Harrison, E. R. 1967, Rev. Mod. Phys., 39, 862
Hawking, S. W. 1966, ApJ, 145, 544
Hawking, S. W., & Ellis, G. F. R. 1973, The large scale structure of space-time (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge)
Hwang, J. 1991, ApJ, 375, 443
Hwang, J. 1994, ApJ, 427, 542
Hwang, J., & Noh, H. 1999, Gen. Rel. Grav., 31, 1131
Hwang, J., & Noh, H. 2001, Phys. Rev. D, 65, 023512
Hwang, J., & Noh, H. 2005, Phys. Rev. D, 71, 063536
Hwang, J., & Noh, H. 2006, Phys. Rev. D, 73, 044021
Hwang, J., & Noh, H. 2007, Phys. Rev. D, 76, 103527
Hwang, J., Noh, H., & Gong, J. 2012, ApJ, 752, 50
Hwang, J., Noh, H., & Park, C-G. 2010, Phys. Rev. D, 82, 043525
Hwang, J., & Vishniac, E. T. 1990, ApJ, 353, 1
Jackson, J. C. 1972, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A, 328, 561
Jackson, J. C. 1993, MNRAS, 264, 729
Jeong, D., Gong, J., Noh H., & Hwang, J. 2011, ApJ, 727, 1
Kodama, H., & Sasaki, M. 1984, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl., 78, 1
Landau, L. D., & Lifshitz, E. M. 1975, The Classical Theory of Fields (Oxford, Pergamon)
Lifshitz, E. M. 1946, J. Phys. (USSR), 10, 116
Lukash, V. N. 1980a, Sov. Phys. JETP Lett., 31, 596
Lukash, V. N. 1980b, Sov. Phys. JETP, 52, 807,
Lyth, D. H., Malik, K. A., & Sasaki, M. 2005, JCAP, 05, 004
Ma, C., & Bertschinger, E. 1995, ApJ, 455, 7
Mukhanov, V. F. 1988, Sov. Phys. JETP, 68, 1297
Mukhanov, V. F., Feldman, H. A., & Brandenberger, R. H. 1992, Phys. Rep., 215, 203
Nariai, H. 1969, Prog. Theor. Phys., 41, 686
Noh, H., & Hwang, J. 2004, Phys. Rev. D, 69, 104011
Peebles, P. J. E. 1980, The Large-Scale Structure of the Universe (Princeton University Press, Princeton)
Sachs, R. K., & Wolfe, A. M. 1967, ApJ, 147, 73
Sasaki, M. 1986, Prog. Theor. Phys., 76, 1036
Smarr, L., & York, J. W. 1978, Phys. Rev. D, 17, 2529
Vishniac, E. T. 1983, MNRAS, 203, 345
Woszczyna, A., & Kułak, A. 1989, Class. Quantum Grav., 6, 1665
York, J. W. 1973, J. Math. Phys., 14, 456
Zel'dovich Ya. B., & Novikov, I. D. 1983, Relativistic astrophysics, Vol 2, The structure and evolution of the universe (Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago)